arXiv:1412.5091v2 [math-ph] 2 Jul 2015

Constructive Tensor Field Theory: The T^4_3 Model

Thibault Delepouve* and Vincent Rivasseau†

July 3, 2015

Abstract

We build constructively the simplest tensor field theory which requires some renormalization, namely the rank three tensor theory with quartic interactions and propagator inverse of the Laplacian on $U(1)^3$. This superrenormalizable tensor field theory has a power counting almost similar to ordinary ϕ^4_2. Our construction uses the multiscale loop vertex expansion (MLVE) recently introduced in the context of an analogous vector model. However to prove analyticity and Borel summability of this model requires new estimates on the intermediate field integration, which is now of matrix rather than of scalar type.

1 Introduction

Colored tensor models [1, 2] were proposed first as an improvement of group field theory [3, 4]. A key progress over earlier tensor models [5, 6, 7] is that they admit a $1/N$ expansion [8, 9, 10].

$U(N)^{\otimes D}$ invariant actions and observables for a pair of rank D complex conjugate tensor fields of dimension N are in one to one correspondence with D-regular edge-colored bipartite graphs [11]. Such interactions generalize the invariant matrix interactions used in matrix models [12] and in matrix based field theories such as the Kontsevich model [13] or the renormalizable asymptotically safe non-commutative Grosse-Wulkenhaar model over the four dimensional Moyal space [14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. Random tensor models with such invariant actions (called "uncolored" models [19]) are the effective theories coming from colored models when integrating out all tensor fields *save one*. They also admit a $1/N$ expansion which is universal in a certain precise mathematical sense [11].

The *tensor track* [20, 21, 22] is the proposal to use the infinite dimensional space of tensor invariant interactions as a new *theory space* [23] for the quantization of gravity in dimensions higher than 2. In particular it proposes to study renormalization group flows in this space [24] in the hope to discover interesting new random geometries. Indeed the Feynman graphs of rank D tensor theory are $(D+1)$-regular edge-colored bipartite graphs dual to triangulations of (pseudo)-manifolds of dimension D. Conversely *any* D-dimensional pseudo-manifold is dual to infinitely many Feynman graphs of a rank D tensor model. Hence the perturbation expansion of tensor field theories performs a sum over all D-dimensional (pseudo)-manifolds. Moreover this expansion sums also over discretized metrics. In particular tensor amplitudes without further data ponder equilateral triangulations exactly with a discretized form of the Einstein-Hilbert action [25]. It should also be noticed that adding group field theoretic projectors to tensor models leads to tensor amplitudes which are spin foams, achieving second quantization of loop quantum gravity [26].

To launch and study a renormalization group flow in the tensor theory space requires to introduce convenient cutoffs allowing for scale decomposition. The most convenient field-theoretic way to do this is to introduce as propagator an inverse Laplacian that softly breaks the $U(N)^{\otimes D}$ invariance and to use the heat-kernel regularization. This procedure can be justified also out of perturbative renormalization considerations [27].

Tensor field theories [28] have been therefore defined as random tensor models with tensor invariant interactions and such a Laplacian-based propagator. The tensorial $1/N$ expansion is the essential tool which

*delepouve@cpht.polytechnique.fr, Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, CNRS UMR 8627, Université Paris Sud, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France and Centre de Physique Théorique, CNRS UMR 7644, École Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France.

†rivass@th.u-psud.fr, Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, CNRS UMR 8627, Université Paris Sud, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France and Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 31 Caroline St. N, N2L 2Y5, Waterloo, ON, Canada.

allows for power counting and for renormalization of such theories, just like the matrix $1/N$ expansion does in the Grosse-Wulkenhaar theory [14].

Superrenormalizable and renormalizable tensor field theories come essentially in two versions. The basic version has no group field theoretic projectors [28, 29, 30] and can be considered the field theoretic version of random tensor models. It sums over triangulations simply equipped with the graph-distance metric, hence is a kind of *equilateral* version of Regge calculus. The more sophisticated version equipped with additional group-field theoretic projectors [31, 32, 33] uses a different metric which incorporates the usual simplicity constraints of group field theory, hence should be properly called tensor group field theory. In both cases renormalizable models have the generic property of being asymptotically free[1] [29, 35, 36]. This is up to now the physically most interesting result of the tensor track, since it allows to envision geometrogenesis [37] as a cosmological scenario [38] of tensor theories.

Constructive field theory [39, 40] is a set of techniques to resum perturbative quantum field theory and obtain a rigorous definition of quantities such as the Schwinger functions of interacting renormalizable models. The loop vertex expansion (LVE) [41, 42, 43, 44] is a constructive tool well-adapted to the control of *non-local* theories in a single renormalization group slice. It is also particularly efficient for the non-perturbative construction of random tensor models [45, 46, 47]. A multiscale loop vertex expansion or MLVE has been recently defined and tested on a vector field theory [48]. To include renormalization, this MLVE adds to the usual Bosonic layer of the LVE a Fermionic layer (Mayer-type expansion [50, 51, 52]). It has been used to revisit the standard construction of the ϕ^4_2 theory [49].

It is therefore natural to extend the constructive program to tensor field theories. This is what we do in this paper for the simplest such theory which requires some infinite renormalization, namely the $U(1)$ rank-three model with inverse Laplacian propagator and quartic interactions, which we nickname T^4_3. It can also be considered as an ordinary field theory on the torus T^3, but with non-local quartic interactions which break rotation invariance. It turns out that the T^4_3 model requires to add to the MLVE of [48] several additional non-trivial arguments, since the tensor propagator links the indices of the tensor together and the intermediate fields are matrices rather than scalars.

The plan of the paper is the following. In section 2 we recall the model and its intermediate field representation and we introduce the standard multiscale analysis [40, 28] to perform renormalization.

In section 3 we perform the MLVE itself, which expresses the connected functions of the theory as a two-level tree expansion, with both Bosonic and Fermionic links. We also state our main theorem which is the convergence of this expansion, allowing to prove existence of the ultraviolet limit of the theory and its Borel summability in a certain cardioid-like domain of the coupling constant.

In section 4 we gather the proofs of the theorem. The Fermionic integrals are exactly similar to those of [48] and bounded in the same way. We decompose then the Bosonic blocks into perturbative and non perturbative parts which we evaluate separately thanks to a Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. The non-perturbative part requires to bound a determinant which is new compared to [48]; this is done through a combination of norms and trace bounds. The perturbative part requires a parametric representation of resolvents factors which allows strand factorization and resolvent bounds in the style of [46]. Concluded by a relatively standard perturbative bound on convergent graphs with scales constraints, this part delivers the key power counting factors which ultimately beat the combinatorics of the expansion in the same manner than in [48].

Acknowledgments We thank warmly Razvan Gurau for useful discussions and for sharing with us insights on the multiscale loop vertex expansion, and Fabien Vignes-Tourneret for pointing out an important correction to the initial version of this paper. V. Rivasseau also acknowledges the partial support of the Perimeter Institute.

[1] The tensor theory space is different from the "Einsteinian" theory space studied in the asymptotic safety program [34], which is the space of diffeo-invariant functions of a metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ on a fixed $\mathbb{R}^4$ topology. Therefore there is absolutely no contradiction between existence of a non-Gaussian fixed point in Einsteinian space and asymptotic freedom in the tensorial space. The uv asymptotically free tensorial flow can lead in the infrared to one or presumably several phase transitions which could create a background random space with effective local properties similar to R^4. The same flow rewritten in new effective variables could then look as if it emerges out of the vicinity of an asymptotically safe fixed point on this effective background space.

2 The Model

2.1 Laplacian, Bare and Renormalized Action

We shall use the time-honored constructive practice to write $O(1)$ for any inessential numerical constant throughout this paper.

Consider a pair of conjugate rank-3 tensor fields $T_n, \bar{T}_{\bar{n}}$ with $n = \{n_1, n_2, n_3\} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, and $\bar{n} = \{\bar{n}_1, \bar{n}_2, \bar{n}_3\} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$. They belong respectively to the tensor product $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3$ and to its dual, where each $\mathcal{H}_c$ is an independent copy of $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}) = L_2(U(1))$, and the color or strand index c takes values $c = 1, 2, 3$. Indeed by Fourier transform these fields can be considered also as ordinary scalar fields $T(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ and $\bar{T}(\bar{\theta}_1, \bar{\theta}_2, \bar{\theta}_3)$ on the three torus $\mathbf{T}_3 = U(1)^3$ [28].

$$d\mu_C(T, \bar{T}) = \Big(\prod_{n,\bar{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \frac{dT_n d T_{\bar{n}}}{2i\pi} \Big) [\mathrm{Det}\, C]^{-1} e^{-\sum_{n,\bar{n}} T_n C^{-1}_{n,\bar{n}} T_{\bar{n}}} \tag{2.1}$$

where the bare propagator C for simplicity has unit mass:

$$C_{n,\bar{n}} = \delta_{n,\bar{n}} C(n), \quad C(n) \equiv \frac{1}{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2 + 1}. \tag{2.2}$$

The bare partition function is then

$$Z_0(g) = \int e^{-\frac{g}{2} \sum_c V^c(T, \bar{T})} d\mu_C(T, \bar{T}) \tag{2.3}$$

where g is the coupling constant and

$$V^c(T, \bar{T}) = \sum_{n,\bar{n},p,\bar{p}} \left(T_n \bar{T}_{\bar{n}} \prod_{c' \neq c} \delta_{n_{c'} \bar{n}_{c'}} \right) \delta_{n_c \bar{p}_c} \delta_{p_c \bar{n}_c} \left(T_p \bar{T}_{\bar{p}} \prod_{c' \neq c} \delta_{p_{c'} \bar{p}_{c'}} \right) \tag{2.4}$$

are the three quartic interaction terms of random tensors at rank three. This model is the *simplest interacting tensor field theory*. Indeed it has smallest rank (three), smallest interaction degree (quartic), is symmetric under independent unitary transforms in each of the three spaces of the tensor product $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3$ and globally symmetric under color permutations. Remark that all three quartic interactions are *melonic* at rank 3. This is no longer true at higher rank [47].

The model has a power counting almost similar to the one of ordinary ϕ_2^4 [53, 54]. It has for each color c two vacuum divergent graph, both with a single vertex; $\mathcal{V}_1$ is linearly divergent and $\mathcal{V}_2$ is logarithmically divergent. It has also a single logarithmically divergent two-point graph $\mathcal{M}$, again with a single vertex, which requires a mass renormalization (see Figure 1).

To have well defined equations and quantities we impose a cutoff N, i.e. we replace $\mathcal{H}_c$ by $\ell_2([-N, N])$. and from now on in this section all sums over indices such as $n, \bar{n}$ are therefore restricted to belong to $[-N, N]^3$.



Figure 1: From left to right, the divergent self-loop $\mathcal{M}$, the convergent self loop and the two vacuum connected graphs $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$.

The bare amplitude for $\mathcal{M}$ is the sum of three amplitudes with color c, each of which is a non-trivial function of the single incoming momentum n_c

$$A(\mathcal{M}) = \sum_c A(\mathcal{M}_c), \quad A(\mathcal{M}_c)(n_c) = -g \sum_{p\in[-N,N]^3} \frac{\delta(p_c - n_c)}{p^2+1}. \tag{2.5}$$

The sum over p diverges logarithmically as $N \to \infty$. The mass counterterm is minus the value at $n_c = 0$, namely

$$\Delta m = -\sum_c A(\mathcal{M}_c)(0) = g \sum_c \delta m^c, \quad \delta m^c = \sum_{p\in[-N,N]^3} \frac{\delta(p_c)}{p^2+1} = \sum_{p\in[-N,N]^2} \frac{1}{p^2+1}. \tag{2.6}$$

Remark that δm^c is independent of c, so that in fact

$$\Delta m = 3g \sum_{p\in[-N,N]^2} \frac{1}{p^2+1}. \tag{2.7}$$

The renormalized amplitude of $\mathcal{M}$ at color c is a convergent sum, hence no longer requires the cutoff N:

$$A^{ren}(\mathcal{M}_c)(n_c) = A(\mathcal{M}_c)(n_c) + \delta m^c = -g \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}^3} \frac{\delta(p_c - n_c) - \delta(p_c)}{p^2+1} = gA(n_c) \tag{2.8}$$

$$A(n_c) = \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{n_c^2}{(n_c^2+p^2+1)(p^2+1)} \leq O(1)\log(1+|n_c|). \tag{2.9}$$

We should similarly compute the vacuum counterterms, taking into account the presence of the Δm counter term. The partition function with this mass counter term included is

$$Z_1(g) = \int e^{-\frac{g}{2}\sum_c V^c(T,\bar{T}) + g\sum_c \delta m^c \sum_n T_n \bar{T}_n} d\mu_C(T,\bar{T}). \tag{2.10}$$

The graph $\mathcal{V}_1$ is the sum of three colored subgraphs $\mathcal{V}_1^c$. $\mathcal{V}_1^1$ requires the counterterm

$$\delta\mathcal{V}_1^1 = \frac{g}{2} \sum_{n_1,n_2,n_3,p_2,p_3} \frac{1}{n_1^2+n_2^2+n_3^2+1} \frac{1}{n_1^2+p_2^2+p_3^2+1}. \tag{2.11}$$

Similarly the graph $\mathcal{V}_2$ is the sum of three colored subgraphs $\mathcal{V}_2^c$. $\mathcal{V}_2^1$ requires the counter term

$$\delta\mathcal{V}_2^1 = \frac{g}{2} \sum_{n_1,n_2,n_3,p_1} \frac{1}{n_1^2+n_2^2+n_3^2+1} \frac{1}{p_1^2+n_2^2+n_3^2+1}. \tag{2.12}$$

Finally the mass counterterm itself generates a divergent vacuum graph $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta m}$ which requires a different counterterm:

$$\delta\mathcal{V}_{\delta m}^1 = -g \sum_{n_1,n_2,n_3,p_2,p_3} \frac{1}{n_1^2+n_2^2+n_3^2+1} \frac{1}{p_2^2+p_3^2+1}. \tag{2.13}$$

The counterterms for colors 2 and 3 are obtained by the same formulas with the appropriate color permutation. The renormalized partition function is therefore

$$Z(g) = e^{\sum_c(\delta\mathcal{V}_1^c + \delta\mathcal{V}_2^c + \delta\mathcal{V}_{\delta m}^c)} \int e^{-\frac{g}{2}\sum_c V^c(T,\bar{T})} e^{g\sum_c \delta m^c \sum_n T_n\bar{T}_n} d\mu_C(T,\bar{T}). \tag{2.14}$$

Such quartic tensor models are best studied in the intermediate field representation [46]. We put $g = \lambda^2$ and decompose the three interactions V^c in (2.4) by introducing three intermediate Hermitian matrix fields σ^c acting on $\mathcal{H}_c$, in the following way

$$e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}V^c(T,\bar{T})} = \int e^{i\lambda\sum_{n,\bar{n}} \prod_{c'\neq c} \delta_{n_{c'}\bar{n}_{c'}} (T_n\bar{T}_{\bar{n}})\sigma^c_{n_c\bar{n}_c}} d\nu(\sigma^c). \tag{2.15}$$

where $d\nu(\sigma^c)$ is the normalized Gaussian independently identically distributed measure of covariance 1 on each independent coefficient of the Hermitian matrix σ^c. It is convenient to consider C as a (diagonal) operator acting on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3$, and to define in this space the operator

$$\vec{\sigma} = \sigma^1 \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 \otimes \mathbb{I}_3 + \mathbb{I}_1 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes \mathbb{I}_3 + \mathbb{I}_1 \otimes \mathbb{I}_2 \otimes \sigma^3 \tag{2.16}$$

where $\mathbb{I}_c$ is the identity over $\mathcal{H}_c$.

We can absorb the mass counterterm in a translation of the quartic interaction (in a way somewhat analogous to Wick-ordering). Indeed remark that

$$\frac{1}{2}V^c(T,\bar{T}) - \delta m^c \sum_n T_n \bar{T}_n + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n,p} \delta_{n_c,p_c} \frac{1}{(n^2-n_c^2)+1}\frac{1}{(p^2-p_c^2)+1} = \tag{2.17}$$

$$\sum_{n,\bar{n},p,\bar{p}} \Big[\prod_{c'\neq c} \delta_{n_{c'}\bar{n}_{c'}}\delta_{p_{c'}\bar{p}_{c'}}\Big(T_n\bar{T}_{\bar{n}} - \frac{\delta_{n_c\bar{n}_c}}{(n^2-n_c^2)+1}\Big)\Big]\delta_{n_c\bar{p}_c}\delta_{p_c\bar{n}_c}\Big[\prod_{c'\neq c}\delta_{p_{c'}\bar{p}_{c'}}\Big(T_p\bar{T}_{\bar{p}} - \frac{\delta_{p_c\bar{p}_c}}{(p^2-p_c^2)+1}\Big)\Big].$$

Therefore, defining

$$\delta\mathcal{V}_3^1 = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\sum_{n_1,n_2,n_3,p_2,p_3\in[-N,N]^5} \frac{1}{n_2^2+n_3^2+1}\frac{1}{p_2^2+p_3^2+1} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}N(\delta m^c)^2, \tag{2.18}$$

$Z(g)$ can be evaluated from (2.17) as

$$\begin{aligned} Z(g) &= e^{\sum_c(\delta\mathcal{V}_1^c+\delta\mathcal{V}_2^c+\delta\mathcal{V}_3^c+\delta\mathcal{V}_{\delta m}^c)}\int d\nu(\vec{\sigma})d\mu_C(T,\bar{T})e^{i\lambda\sum_c\left(\sum_{n,\bar{n}}T_n\bar{T}_{\bar{n}}\sigma^c_{n_c\bar{n}_c}\prod_{c'\neq c}\delta_{n_{c'}\bar{n}_{c'}} - \delta m^c \mathrm{Tr}_c\sigma^c\right)} \\ &= Z'(g)\int d\nu(\vec{\sigma})\prod_c e^{-i\lambda\sum_c\delta m^c\mathrm{Tr}_c\sigma^c}e^{-\mathbf{Tr}\log\left[\mathbb{I}-i\lambda C^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2}\right]}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

In this equation, Tr_c means a trace over $\mathcal{H}_c$, $\mathbf{Tr}$ means trace on the tensor product $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1\otimes\mathcal{H}_2\otimes\mathcal{H}_3$, $\mathbb{I}$ is the identity on $\mathcal{H}$, $d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) = \prod_c d\nu(\sigma^c)$, R is the *resolvent* operator on $\mathcal{H}$

$$R(\vec{\sigma}) \equiv \frac{1}{\mathbb{I}-i\lambda C^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2}}, \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$Z'(g) = e^{\delta\mathcal{V}_1^c+\delta\mathcal{V}_2^c+\delta\mathcal{V}_3^c+\delta\mathcal{V}_{\delta m}^c}. \tag{2.21}$$

We remark that the first term in the expansion in λ of $-\mathbf{Tr}\log\left[\mathbb{I}-i\lambda C^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2}\right]$ combines nicely with the term $-i\lambda\sum_c\delta m^c\mathrm{Tr}_c\sigma^c$, since

$$\mathbf{Tr}\, C\vec{\sigma} - \sum_c \delta m^c \mathrm{Tr}_c\sigma^c = \sum_c\sum_{n_c} A(n_c)\sigma^c_{n_cn_c}. \tag{2.22}$$

Joining (2.19) and (2.22) gives

$$Z(g) = Z'(g)\int d\nu(\vec{\sigma})e^{i\lambda\sum_c\sum_{n_c}A(n_c)\sigma^c_{n_cn_c} - \mathbf{Tr}\log_2\left[\mathbb{I}-i\lambda C^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2}\right]}, \tag{2.23}$$

where $\log_2(1-x) \equiv x + \log(1-x) = O(x^2)$.

Finally we should rework $Z'(g)$ to check that it compensates indeed the divergent vacuum graphs of the σ functional integral. First remark that $\delta\mathcal{V}_1^c + \delta\mathcal{V}_3^c + \delta\mathcal{V}_{\delta m}^c$ nicely recombine as

$$\mathcal{D} \equiv \sum_c \delta\mathcal{V}_1^c + \delta\mathcal{V}_3^c + \delta\mathcal{V}_{\delta m}^c = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\sum_c\sum_{n_c}A^2(n_c) \tag{2.24}$$

where for the last equality we recall that σ^c cannot contract to $\sigma^{c'}$ for $c'\neq c$. Similarly we remark that the non-melonic log-divergent counter term for $\mathcal{V}_2$ can be written as a σ integral namely

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_c \delta\mathcal{V}_2^c = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\int d\nu(\vec{\sigma})\mathbf{Tr}(C\vec{\sigma})^2. \tag{2.25}$$

Therefore

$$Z(g) = \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) e^{-\mathbf{Tr}\log_2\left[\mathbb{I} - i\lambda C^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2}\right] + i\lambda \sum_c \sum_{n_c} A(n_c)\sigma^c_{n_c n_c} + \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{E}}. \tag{2.26}$$

As expected, thanks to $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}$, the first order term in λ^2 cancels exactly in this σ representation of $\log Z(g)$, as they did in the $(T, \bar{T})$ representation, so that

$$\log Z(g) = O(\lambda^4) = O(g^2). \tag{2.27}$$

We remark now that

$$d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) e^{i\lambda \sum_c \sum_{n_c} A(n_c)\sigma^c_{n_c n_c} + \mathcal{D}} \tag{2.28}$$

is exactly the Gaussian normalized measure for a translated field in which only diagonal coefficients $\sigma^c_{n_c n_c}$ are translated. Indeed noting $d\nu_{diag}(\vec{\sigma})$ the diagonal part of $d\nu(\vec{\sigma})$ we have

$$d\nu_{diag}(\vec{\sigma}) e^{i\lambda \sum_c \sum_{n_c} A(n_c)\sigma^c_{n_c n_c} + \mathcal{D}} = \prod_c \prod_{n_c} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma^c_{n_c n_c} - i\lambda A(n_c))^2}. \tag{2.29}$$

Let us define the diagonal operator $D(n, \bar{n}) = \delta_{n\bar{n}} D(n)$ which acts on $\mathcal{H}$ with eigenvalues

$$D(n) \equiv \sum_c C(n) A(n_c). \tag{2.30}$$

This operator commutes with C since they are both diagonal in the momentum basis. It is bounded uniformly in N since from (2.2) and (2.9) we have

$$\|D(n)\| \leq O(1). \tag{2.31}$$

In fact D is also compact as an infinite dimensional operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^3)$, hence at $N = \infty$, and its square is trace class, since

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \sum_{c,c'} \frac{\log(1 + |n_c|)\log(1 + |n_{c'}|)}{(n^2 + 1)^2} \leq O(1). \tag{2.32}$$



Figure 2: A Cardioid Domain

Lemma 2.1 *For g in the small open cardioid domain $\mathcal{C}ard_\rho$ defined by $|g| < \rho \cos[(\mathrm{Arg}\ g)/2]$ (see Figure 2), the translated resolvent*

$$R = [\mathbb{I} - i\lambda C^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2} + \lambda^2 D]^{-1} \tag{2.33}$$

is well defined and uniformly bounded:

$$\|R\| \leq 2\cos^{-1}(\mathrm{Arg}\, g/2). \tag{2.34}$$

Proof In the cardioid domain we have $|\mathrm{Arg}\, g| < \pi$ and for any self-adjoint operator L we have

$$\|(\mathbb{I} - i\sqrt{g}L)^{-1}\| \leq \cos^{-1}(\mathrm{Arg}\, g/2). \tag{2.35}$$

Taking ρ small enough so that $\rho\|D(n)\| < 1/2$, the Lemma follows from the power series expansion

$$\|(\mathbb{I} - i\sqrt{g}L + \lambda^2 D)^{-1}\| \leq \|J^{-1}\| \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \|\lambda^2 D J^{-1}\|^q \leq 2\cos^{-1}(\mathrm{Arg}\, g/2), \tag{2.36}$$

with $J = \mathbb{I} - i\sqrt{g}L$. □

Lemma 2.2 *For g in the cardioid domain $\mathcal{C}ard_\rho$, the successive contour translations from $\sigma^c_{n_c n_c}$ to $\sigma^c_{n_c n_c} - i\lambda A(n_c)$ do not cross any singularity of* $\mathbf{Tr}\log_2\left[\mathbb{I} - i\lambda C^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2}\right]$.

Proof To prove that $\mathbf{Tr}\log_2[\mathbb{I} - i\lambda C^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2}]$ is analytic in the combined translation band of imaginary width $\lambda A(n_c)$ for the $\sigma^c_{n_c n_c}$ variables, one can write

$$\log_2(1-x) = -\int_0^1 \frac{tx^2}{1-tx} dt \tag{2.37}$$

and then use the previous lemma to prove that, for g in the small open cardioid domain $\mathcal{C}ard_\rho$, the resolvent $R(t) = [\mathbb{I} - it\lambda C^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2} + t\lambda^2 D]^{-1}$, is also well-defined for any $t \in [0,1]$ by a power series of analytic terms uniformly convergent in the band of of imaginary width $\lambda A(n_c)$. Hence it is analytic in that band. □

Hence by Lemma 2.2

$$Z(g) = \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) e^{-\mathbf{Tr}\log_2\left[\mathbb{I} - i\lambda C^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2} + \lambda^2 D\right] + \mathcal{E}} = \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) e^{-V(\sigma)}. \tag{2.38}$$

where the σ interaction is now defined as

$$V(\sigma) \equiv \mathbf{Tr}\log_2\left[\mathbb{I} - U\right] - \mathcal{E}, \quad U \equiv i\lambda C^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2} - \lambda^2 D. \tag{2.39}$$

2.2 Slices and Intermediate Field Representation

The "cubic" cutoff $[-N, N]^3$ of the previous section is not very well adapted to the rotation invariant n^2 term in the propagator, nor very convenient for multi-slice analysis as in [48]. In this section we introduce better cutoffs, which are still sharp[2] in the "momentum space" $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})^3$, but not longer factorize over colors.

It means we fix an integer $M > 1$ as ratio of a geometric progression M^j and define the ultraviolet cutoff as a maximal slice index j_{max} so that the previous N roughly corresponds to $M^{j_{max}}$. More precisely, our notation convention is that $\mathbf{1}_x$ is the characteristic function of the event x, and we define the following functions of $n \in \mathbb{Z}^3$:

$$\mathbf{1}_{\leq 1} = \mathbf{1}_1 = \mathbf{1}_{1+n_1^2+n_2^2+n_3^2 \leq M^2} \tag{2.40}$$

$$\mathbf{1}_{\leq j} = \mathbf{1}_{1+n_1^2+n_2^2+n_3^2 \leq M^{2j}} \text{ for } j \geq 2, \tag{2.41}$$

$$\mathbf{1}_j = \mathbf{1}_{\leq j} - \mathbf{1}_{\leq j-1} \text{ for } j \geq 2. \tag{2.42}$$

(Beware we choose the convention of *lower* indices for slices, as in [48], not upper indices as in [40].)

We start with the formulation of the action (2.38) which we have reached in the previous section, and organize it according to the new cutoffs, so that the previous limit $N \to \infty$ becomes a limit $j_{max} \to \infty$. The interaction with cutoff j is (since $\mathbf{1}_j^2 = \mathbf{1}_j$)

$$V_{\leq j} \equiv \mathbf{Tr}\log_2\left[\mathbb{I} - U_{\leq j}\right] - \mathcal{E}_{\leq j}, \tag{2.43}$$

$$U_{\leq j} \equiv i\lambda \mathbf{1}_{\leq j} C^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2}\mathbf{1}_{\leq j} - \lambda^2 \mathbf{1}_{\leq j} D, \tag{2.44}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\leq j} \equiv \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\int d\nu(\vec{\sigma})\mathbf{Tr}(\mathbf{1}_{\leq j} C\vec{\sigma})^2. \tag{2.45}$$

To define the specific part of the interaction which should be attributed to the scale j we introduce

$$\mathbf{1}_{\leq j}(t_j) = \mathbf{1}_{\leq j-1} + t_j \mathbf{1}_j \tag{2.46}$$

[2] We could also use parametric cutoffs as in [40, 28], but sharp cutoffs are simpler.

where $t_j \in [0,1]$ is an interpolation parameter for the j-th scale. Remark that

$$\mathbf{1}^2_{\leq j}(t_j) = \mathbf{1}_{\leq j-1} + t_j^2 \mathbf{1}_j. \tag{2.47}$$

The interpolated interaction and resolvents are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\leq j}(t_j) &\equiv \mathbf{Tr} \log_2 [\mathbb{I} - U_{\leq j}(t_j)] - \mathcal{E}_{\leq j}(t_j) \ , \\ U_{\leq j}(t_j) &\equiv i\lambda \mathbf{1}_{\leq j}(t_j) C^{1/2} \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2} \mathbf{1}_{\leq j}(t_j) - \lambda^2 \mathbf{1}_{\leq j}(t_j) D, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\leq j}(t_j) &\equiv \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) \mathbf{Tr} (\mathbf{1}^2_{\leq j}(t_j) C \vec{\sigma})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Remark that

$$0 \leq \mathcal{E}_{\leq j} \leq O(1) j, \quad 0 \leq \mathcal{E}_{\leq j} - \mathcal{E}_{\leq j-1} \leq O(1). \tag{2.48}$$

We also define the interpolated resolvent

$$R_{\leq j}(t_j) \equiv \frac{1}{\mathbb{I} - U_{\leq j}(t_j)}. \tag{2.49}$$

When the context is clear, we write simply $V_{\leq j}$ for $V_{\leq j}(t_j)$, $U_{\leq j}$ for $U_{\leq j}(t_j)$, U' for $\frac{d}{dt_j} U_{\leq j}$ and so on. We also write $C^{1/2}_{\leq j}$ for $\mathbf{1}_{\leq j}(t_j) C^{1/2}$, $C^{1/2}_j$ for $\mathbf{1}_j C^{1/2}$, C_j for $\mathbf{1}_j C$, $D_{\leq j}$ for $\mathbf{1}_{\leq j}(t_j) D$ and D_j for $\mathbf{1}_j D$. However beware that we shall write $C_{\leq j}$ for $\mathbf{1}^2_{\leq j}(t_j) C$, as this is the natural expression that will always occur in that case. With these notations we do have the natural relations

$$[C^{1/2}_{\leq j}]^2 = C_{\leq j}, \quad [C^{1/2}_j]^2 = C_j. \tag{2.50}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} V_j &= V_{\leq j} - V_{\leq j-1} = \int_0^1 dt_j V'_{\leq j} = \int_0^1 dt_j [\mathbf{Tr}\ U'_{\leq j} (\mathbb{I} - R_{\leq j}) - \mathcal{E}'_{\leq j}] \\ U'_{\leq j} &= i\lambda C^{1/2}_j \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} + i\lambda C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2}_j - \lambda^2 D_j \end{aligned} \tag{2.51}$$

Now we use that $(\mathbb{I} - R_{\leq j}) = -U_{\leq j} R_{\leq j} = -R_{\leq j} U_{\leq j}$ and the cyclicity of the trace, plus relations such as $C^{1/2}_{\leq j} C^{1/2}_j = t_j C_j$ to write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Tr}\ U'_{\leq j} (\mathbb{I} - R_{\leq j}) &= -i\lambda \mathbf{Tr} [R_{\leq j} U_{\leq j} C^{1/2}_j \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} + R_{\leq j} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2}_j U_{\leq j} + i\lambda R_{\leq j} U_{\leq j} D_j] \\ &= \lambda^2 \mathbf{Tr} R_{\leq j} [2 t_j C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} C_j \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \\ &\quad + i\lambda (D_{\leq j} C^{1/2}_j \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} + C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2}_j D_{\leq j}) + U_{\leq j} D_j] \end{aligned} \tag{2.52}$$

$$\mathbf{Tr}\ \mathcal{E}'_{\leq j} = 2\lambda^2 t_j \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) \mathbf{Tr} (C_j \vec{\sigma} C_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma}). \tag{2.53}$$

Remark that if we replace $R_{\leq j}$ by $\mathbb{I}$ in the first term in (2.52) it would exactly cancel the $\mathcal{E}'_{\leq j}$ term. This is nothing but again the exact cancellation of the last vacuum graph in Figure 1 with its counter term.

We now have

$$Z(g, j_{max}) = \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) \prod_{j=0}^{j_{\max}} e^{-V_j}. \tag{2.54}$$

As in [48] we define

$$W_j(\vec{\sigma}) = e^{-V_j} - 1 \tag{2.55}$$

and encode the factorization of the interaction in (2.54) through Grassmann numbers as

$$Z(g, j_{max}) = \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) \prod_{j=0}^{j_{\max}} e^{-V_j} = \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) \Big(\prod_{j=0}^{j_{\max}} d\mu(\bar{\chi}_j, \chi_j) \Big) \, e^{-\sum_{j=0}^{j_{\max}} \bar{\chi}_j W_j(\vec{\sigma}) \chi_j}, \tag{2.56}$$

where $d\mu(\bar{\chi}, \chi) = d\bar{\chi} d\chi \, e^{-\bar{\chi}\chi}$ is the standard normalized Grassmann Gaussian measure with covariance 1.

3 The Multiscale Loop Vertex Expansion

We perform now the two-level jungle expansion of [48]. For completeness we summarize the main steps, referring to [48] for details.

Considering the set of scales $\mathcal{S} = [0, j_{max}]$, we denote $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{S}}$ the $|\mathcal{S}|$ by $|\mathcal{S}|$ identity matrix. Then we rewrite the partition function as:

$$Z(g, j_{max}) = \int d\nu_{\mathcal{S}}\ e^{-W}\ , \quad d\nu_{\mathcal{S}} = d\nu(\sigma)\ d\mu_{\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{S}}}(\{\bar{\chi}_j, \chi_j\})\ , \quad W = \sum_{j=0}^{j_{\max}} \bar{\chi}_j W_j(\vec{\sigma})\chi_j\ . \tag{3.1}$$

The first step expands to infinity the exponential of the interaction:

$$Z(g, j_{max}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int d\nu_{\mathcal{S}}\ (-W)^n\ . \tag{3.2}$$

The second step introduces Bosonic replicas for all the vertices in $V = \{1, \cdots, n\}$:

$$Z(g, j_{max}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int d\nu_{\mathcal{S},V}\ \prod_{a=1}^{n}(-W_a)\ , \tag{3.3}$$

so that each vertex W_a has now its own set of three Bosonic matrix fields $\vec{\sigma}^a = \{(\sigma^1)^a, (\sigma^2)^a, (\sigma^3)^a\}$. The replicated measure is completely degenerate between replicas (each of the three colors remaining independent of the others):

$$d\nu_{\mathcal{S},V} = d\nu_{\mathbf{1}_V}(\{\vec{\sigma}^a\})\ d\mu_{\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{S}}}(\{\bar{\chi}_j, \chi_j\})\ , \quad W_a = \sum_{j=0}^{j_{\max}} \bar{\chi}_j W_j(\vec{\sigma}^a)\chi_j\ . \tag{3.4}$$

The obstacle to factorize the functional integral Z over vertices and to compute $\log Z$ lies in the Bosonic degenerate blocks $\mathbf{1}_V$ and in the Fermionic fields. In order to remove this obstacle we need to apply *two* successive forest formulas [55, 56], one Bosonic, the other Fermionic. The main difference with [48] is that the Bosonic forest will be *three-colored* since there are three colors for the intermediate matrix fields.

To analyze the block $\mathbf{1}_V$ in the measure $d\nu$ we introduce coupling parameters $x_{ab} = x_{ba}, x_{aa} = 1$ between the Bosonic vertex replicas. Since there are three colors, and since the interpolation parameters are color-blind, we obtain a sum over three-colored forests. Representing Gaussian integrals as derivative operators as in [48] we have

$$Z(g, j_{max}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \Big[e^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n} x_{ab} \sum_{c=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\sigma^c)^a}\frac{\partial}{\partial(\sigma^c)^b} + \sum_{j=0}^{j_{\max}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\chi}_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi_j}}\ \prod_{a=1}^{n}\Big(-\sum_{j=0}^{j_{\max}} \bar{\chi}_j W_j(\vec{\sigma}^a)\chi_j\Big)\Big]_{\substack{\vec{\sigma},\chi,\bar{\chi}=0 \\ x_{ab}=1}}\ . \tag{3.5}$$

The third step applies the standard Taylor forest formula of [55, 56] to the x parameters. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_B^{3c}$ a three-colored Bosonic forest with n vertices labelled $\{1, \dots n\}$. It means an acyclic set of edges over V in which each edge ℓ_B has a specific color $c(\ell) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. For ℓ_B a generic edge of the forest we denote by $a(\ell_B), b(\ell_B)$ the end vertices of ℓ_B. The result of the Taylor forest formula is:

$$\begin{aligned} Z(g, j_{max}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathcal{F}_B^{3c}} \int_0^1 \Big(\prod_{\ell_B \in \mathcal{F}_B^{3c}} dw_{\ell_B}\Big)\ \Big[& e^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n} X_{ab}(w_{\ell_B}) \sum_{c=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\sigma^c)^a}\frac{\partial}{\partial(\sigma^c)^b} + \sum_{j=0}^{j_{\max}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\chi}_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi_j}} \\ & \times \prod_{\ell_B \in \mathcal{F}_B^{3c}} \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\sigma^{c(\ell_B)})^{a(\ell_B)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\sigma^{c(\ell_B)})^{b(\ell_B)}}\Big)\ \prod_{a=1}^{n}\Big(-\sum_{j=0}^{j_{\max}} \bar{\chi}_j W_j(\vec{\sigma}^a)\chi_j\Big)\Big]_{\vec{\sigma},\chi,\bar{\chi}=0}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $X_{ab}(w_{\ell_B})$ is the infimum over the parameters w_{ℓ_B} in the unique path in the forest $\mathcal{F}_B^{3c}$ connecting a to b. This infimum is set to 1 if $a = b$ and to zero if a and b are not connected by the forest [55, 56].

The colored forest $\mathcal{F}_B^{3c}$ partitions the set of vertices into blocks $\mathcal{B}$ corresponding to its connected components. In each such block the edges of $\mathcal{F}_B^{3c}$ form a spanning tree. Remark that such blocks can be reduced



Figure 3: A Bosonic forest, the bosonic blocks $\mathcal{B}$ are represented in gray.



Figure 4: A two level jungle, the fermionic (wiggly) edges can only link two different bosonic blocks.

to single vertices. Any vertex a belongs to a unique Bosonic block $\mathcal{B}$. Contracting every Bosonic block to an "effective vertex" we obtain a reduced set which we denote $\{n\}/\mathcal{F}_B$.

The fourth step introduces replica Fermionic fields $\chi_j^{\mathcal{B}}$ for these blocks of $\mathcal{F}_B^{3c}$ (i.e. for the effective vertices of $\{n\}/\mathcal{F}_B^{3c}$) and replica coupling parameters $y_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'} = y_{\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{B}}$. The fifth and last step applies (once again) the forest formula, this time for the y's, leading to a set of Fermionic edges $\mathcal{L}_F$ forming an (uncolored) forest in $\{n\}/\mathcal{F}_B^{3c}$ (hence connecting Bosonic blocks). Denoting L_F a generic Fermionic edge connecting blocks and $\mathcal{B}(L_F), \mathcal{B}'(L_F)$ the end blocks of the Fermionic edge L_F we follow exactly the same steps than in [48] and obtain a two level-jungle formula [56] in which the first level is three-colored and the second level is uncolored. The result writes

$$Z(g, j_{max}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathcal{J}} \sum_{j_1=0}^{j_{\max}} \cdots \sum_{j_n=0}^{j_{\max}} \int dw_{\mathcal{J}} \int d\nu_{\mathcal{J}} \quad \partial_{\mathcal{J}} \Big[\prod_{\mathcal{B}} \prod_{a \in \mathcal{B}} \Big(W_{j_a}(\vec{\sigma}^a) \chi_{j_a}^{\mathcal{B}} \bar{\chi}_{j_a}^{\mathcal{B}} \Big) \Big] , \tag{3.7}$$

where

- the sum over $\mathcal{J}$ runs over all two-level jungles, the first level of which is three-colored, hence over all ordered pairs $\mathcal{J} = (\mathcal{F}_B^{3c}, \mathcal{F}_F)$ of two (each possibly empty) disjoint forests on V, such that $\mathcal{F}_B^{3c}$ is a three colored-forest, $\mathcal{F}_F$ is an uncolored forest and $\bar{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{F}_B^{3c} \cup \mathcal{F}_F$ is still a forest on V. The forests $\mathcal{F}_B^{3c}$ and $\mathcal{F}_F$ are the Bosonic and Fermionic components of $\mathcal{J}$. Bosonic edges $\ell_B \in \mathcal{F}_B^{3c}$ have a well-defined color $c(\ell) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and Fermionic edges $\ell_F \in \mathcal{F}_F$ are uncolored.

- $\int dw_{\mathcal{J}}$ means integration from 0 to 1 over parameters w_ℓ, one for each edge $\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{J}}$, namely $\int dw_{\mathcal{J}} = \prod_{\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{J}}} \int_0^1 dw_\ell$. There is no integration for the empty forest since by convention an empty product is 1. A generic integration point $w_{\mathcal{J}}$ is therefore made of $|\bar{\mathcal{J}}|$ parameters $w_\ell \in [0, 1]$, one for each $\ell \in \bar{\mathcal{J}}$.

- $$\partial_{\mathcal{J}} = \prod_{\substack{\ell_B \in \mathcal{F}_B^{3c} \\ \ell_B = (a,b)}} \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\sigma^{c(\ell_B)})^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\sigma^{c(\ell_B)})^b} \Big) \prod_{\substack{\ell_F \in \mathcal{F}_F \\ \ell_F = (d,e)}} \delta_{j_d j_e} \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\chi}^{\mathcal{B}(d)}_{j_d}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^{\mathcal{B}(e)}_{j_e}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\chi}^{\mathcal{B}(e)}_{j_e}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^{\mathcal{B}(d)}_{j_d}} \Big) , \tag{3.8}$$

  where $\mathcal{B}(d)$ denotes the Bosonic block to which the vertex d belongs.

- The measure $d\nu_{\mathcal{J}}$ has covariance $X(w_{\ell_B}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}$ on Bosonic variables and $Y(w_{\ell_F}) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{S}}$ on Fermionic variables, hence

$$\int d\nu_{\mathcal{J}} F = \Big[e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} X_{ab}(w_{\ell_B}) \sum_{c=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\sigma^c)^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\sigma^c)^b} + \sum_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{B}'} Y_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'}(w_{\ell_F}) \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}, b \in \mathcal{B}'} \delta_{j_a j_b} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\chi}^{\mathcal{B}}_{j_a}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^{\mathcal{B}'}_{j_b}}} F \Big]_{\sigma = \bar{\chi} = \chi = 0} . \tag{3.9}$$

- $X_{ab}(w_{\ell_B})$ is the infimum of the w_{ℓ_B} parameters for all the Bosonic edges ℓ_B in the unique path $P^{\mathcal{F}_B}_{a \to b}$ from a to b in $\mathcal{F}_B$. The infimum is set to zero if such a path does not exists and to 1 if $a = b$.

- $Y_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'}(w_{\ell_F})$ is the infimum of the w_{ℓ_F} parameters for all the Fermionic edges ℓ_F in any of the paths $P^{\mathcal{F}_B \cup \mathcal{F}_F}_{a \to b}$ from some vertex $a \in \mathcal{B}$ to some vertex $b \in \mathcal{B}'$. The infimum is set to 0 if there are no such paths, and to 1 if such paths exist but do not contain any Fermionic edges.

Remember that a main property of the forest formula is that the symmetric n by n matrix $X_{ab}(w_{\ell_B})$ is positive for any value of $w_{\mathcal{J}}$, hence the Gaussian measure $d\nu_{\mathcal{J}}$ is well-defined. The matrix $Y_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}'}(w_{\ell_F})$ is also positive, with all elements between 0 and 1. Since the slice assignments, the fields, the measure and the integrand are now factorized over the connected components of $\bar{\mathcal{J}}$, the logarithm of Z is easily computed as exactly the same sum but restricted to two-levels spanning trees (whose first level is three-colored):

$$\log Z(g, j_{max}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathcal{J} \text{ tree}} \sum_{j_1=1}^{j_{\max}} \cdots \sum_{j_n=1}^{j_{\max}} \int dw_{\mathcal{J}} \int d\nu_{\mathcal{J}} \quad \partial_{\mathcal{J}} \Big[\prod_{\mathcal{B}} \prod_{a \in \mathcal{B}} \Big(W_{j_a}(\vec{\sigma}^a) \chi^{\mathcal{B}}_{j_a} \bar{\chi}^{\mathcal{B}}_{j_a} \Big) \Big] , \tag{3.10}$$

where the sum is the same but conditioned on $\bar{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{F}_B^{3c} \cup \mathcal{F}_F$ being a *spanning tree* on $V = [1, \cdots, n]$.

Our main result is

Theorem 3.1 *Fix $\rho > 0$ small enough. The series* (3.10) *is absolutely and uniformly in j_{max} convergent for g in the small open cardioid domain $\mathcal{C}ard_\rho$ defined by $|g| < \rho \cos[(\mathrm{Arg}\, g)/2]$ (see Figure 2). Its ultraviolet limit $\log Z(g) = \lim_{j_{max} \to \infty} \log Z(g, j_{max})$ is therefore well-defined and analytic in that cardioid domain; furthermore it is the Borel sum of its perturbative series in powers of g.*

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of this Theorem.

4 The Bounds

4.1 Grassmann Integrals

The Grassmann Gaussian part of the functional integral (3.10) is also treated exactly as in [48], resulting in the same computation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \prod_{\mathcal{B}} \prod_{a \in \mathcal{B}} (d\bar{\chi}^{\mathcal{B}}_{j_a} d\chi^{\mathcal{B}}_{j_a}) e^{-\sum_{a,b=1}^{n} \bar{\chi}^{\mathcal{B}(a)}_{j_a} \mathbf{Y}_{ab} \chi^{\mathcal{B}(b)}_{j_b}} \prod_{\substack{\ell_F \in \mathcal{F}_F \\ \ell_F = (a,b)}} \delta_{j_a j_b} \Big(\chi^{\mathcal{B}(a)}_{j_a} \bar{\chi}^{\mathcal{B}(b)}_{j_b} + \chi^{\mathcal{B}(b)}_{j_b} \bar{\chi}^{\mathcal{B}(a)}_{j_a} \Big) \\ &= \Big(\prod_{\mathcal{B}} \prod_{\substack{a,b \in \mathcal{B} \\ a \neq b}} (1 - \delta_{j_a j_b}) \Big) \Big(\prod_{\substack{\ell_F \in \mathcal{F}_F \\ \ell_F = (a,b)}} \delta_{j_a j_b} \Big) \Big(\mathbf{Y}^{\hat{b}_1 \dots \hat{b}_k}_{\hat{a}_1 \dots \hat{a}_k} + \mathbf{Y}^{\hat{a}_1 \dots \hat{b}_k}_{\hat{b}_1 \dots \hat{a}_k} + \cdots + \mathbf{Y}^{\hat{a}_1 \dots \hat{a}_k}_{\hat{b}_1 \dots \hat{b}_k} \Big) , \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $k = |\mathcal{F}_F|$, the sum runs over the 2^k ways to exchange an a_i and a b_i, and the Y factors are (up to a sign) the minors of Y with the lines $b_1 \dots b_k$ and the columns $a_1 \dots a_k$ deleted. The most important factor in (4.1) is $\Big(\prod_{\mathcal{B}} \prod_{\substack{a,b \in \mathcal{B} \\ a \neq b}} (1 - \delta_{j_a j_b}) \Big)$ which means that the scales obey to a *hard core constraint inside each*

block. Positivity of the Y covariance means as usual that the Y minors are all bounded by 1 [57, 48], namely for any $a_1, \dots a_k$ and $b_1, \dots b_k$,

$$\left| \mathbf{Y}^{\hat{a}_1 \dots \hat{b}_k}_{\hat{b}_1 \dots \hat{a}_k} \right| \leq 1 \, . \tag{4.2}$$

4.2 Bosonic Integrals

The main problem is now the evaluation of the Bosonic integral in (3.10). Since it factorizes over the Bosonic blocks, it is sufficient to bound separately this integral in each fixed block $\mathcal{B}$. In such a block the Bosonic forest $\mathcal{F}_B^{3c}$ restricts to a three-colored Bosonic tree $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}^{3c}$, and the Bosonic Gaussian measure $d\nu$ restricts to $d\nu_{\mathcal{B}}$ defined by

$$\int d\nu_{\mathcal{B}} F_{\mathcal{B}} = \left[e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b \in \mathcal{B}} X_{ab}(w_{\ell_B}) \sum_{c=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\sigma^c)^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\sigma^c)^b}} F_{\mathcal{B}} \right]_{\sigma=0} . \tag{4.3}$$

The Bosonic integrand $F_{\mathcal{B}} = \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}^{3c}, \ell_B = (a,b)} \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\sigma^{c(\ell_B)})^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\sigma^{c(\ell_B)})^b} \Big) \prod_{a \in \mathcal{B}} \Big(W_{j_a}(\vec{\sigma}^a) \Big)$ can be written in shorter notations as

$$F_{\mathcal{B}} = \prod_{a \in \mathcal{B}} [\prod_{s \in S_{\mathcal{B}}^a} \partial \sigma_s W_{j_a}] \tag{4.4}$$

where $S_{\mathcal{B}}^a$ runs over the set of all edges in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}^{3c}$ which end at vertex a, hence $|S_{\mathcal{B}}^a| = d_a(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}^{3c})$, the degree or coordination of the tree $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}^{3c}$ at vertex a. To each element s is therefore associated a well-defined color and well-defined matrix elements (which have to be summed later after identifications are made through the edges of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}^{3c}$).

When $\mathcal{B}$ has more than one vertex, since $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}^{3c}$ is a tree, each vertex $a \in \mathcal{B}$ is touched by at least one derivative and we can replace $W_{j_a} = e^{-V_{j_a}} - 1$ by $e^{-V_{j_a}}$ (the derivative of 1 giving 0) and write

$$F_{\mathcal{B}} = \prod_{a \in \mathcal{B}} [\prod_{s \in S_{\mathcal{B}}^a} \partial \sigma_s e^{-V_{j_a}}]. \tag{4.5}$$

We can evaluate the derivatives in (4.5) through the Faà di Bruno formula:

$$\prod_{s \in S} \partial \sigma_s f\big(g(\sigma)\big) = \sum_{\pi} f^{|\pi|}\big(g(\sigma)\big) \prod_{b \in \pi} \left([\prod_{s \in b} \partial \sigma_s] g(\sigma) \right) \, , \tag{4.6}$$

where π runs over the partitions of the set S and b runs through the blocks of the partition π. In our case f, the exponential function, is its own derivative, hence the formula simplifies to

$$F_{\mathcal{B}} \;\; = \;\; \prod_{a \in \mathcal{B}} e^{-V_{j_a}} \left[\sum_{\pi^a} \prod_{b^a \in \pi^a} [\prod_{s \in b^a} \partial \sigma_s] (-V_{j_a}) \right] , \tag{4.7}$$

where π^a runs over partitions of $S_{\mathcal{B}}^a$ into blocks b^a.

We recall that, with the notations of Section 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} V_j \;\; &= \;\; \lambda^2 \int_0^1 dt_j \Big(\mathbf{Tr} R_{\leq j} \Big[2t_j C_{\leq j}^{1/2} \vec{\sigma} C_j \vec{\sigma} C_{\leq j}^{1/2} + i\lambda (D_{\leq j} C_j^{1/2} \vec{\sigma} C_{\leq j}^{1/2} + C_{\leq j}^{1/2} \vec{\sigma} C_j^{1/2} D_{\leq j}) + U_{\leq j} D_j \Big] \\ &\quad -2t_j \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) C_j \vec{\sigma} C_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} \Big) \\ &= \;\; \mathcal{D}_j + 2\lambda^2 \int_0^1 t_j dt_j \Big[\mathbf{Tr} R_{\leq j} C_{\leq j}^{1/2} \vec{\sigma} C_j \vec{\sigma} C_{\leq j}^{1/2} - \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) C_j \vec{\sigma} C_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} \Big], \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_j$ gathers all terms with a D factor:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_j \;\; &\equiv \;\; \lambda^2 \int_0^1 dt_j \mathbf{Tr} \, R_{\leq j} \big[i\lambda (D_{\leq j} C_j^{1/2} \vec{\sigma} C_{\leq j}^{1/2} + C_{\leq j}^{1/2} \vec{\sigma} C_j^{1/2} D_{\leq j}) + U_{\leq j} D_j \big] \\ &= \;\; i\lambda^3 \int_0^1 dt_j \mathbf{Tr} \, R_{\leq j} \big[D_{\leq j} C_j^{1/2} \vec{\sigma} C_{\leq j}^{1/2} + C_{\leq j}^{1/2} \vec{\sigma} C_j^{1/2} D_{\leq j} + C_{\leq j}^{1/2} \vec{\sigma} C_{\leq j}^{1/2} D_j + i\lambda D_{\leq j} D_j \big]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

The last term in (4.8) is the $\mathcal{E}'_{\leq j}$ constant term, which does not depend on $\vec{\sigma}$. Hence remembering that $\partial\sigma_s$ really stand for a derivative with well defined color and matrix elements $\partial\sigma^{c_s}_{n^{c_s},\bar{n}^{c_s}}$, we get following the order of the terms in (4.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial\sigma_1(-V_j) &= i\lambda^3\int_0^1 dt_j\Big(\mathbf{Tr}\, i\lambda R_{\leq j}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\Delta^1 C^{1/2}_{\leq j}R_{\leq j}\\
&\quad\times\big[t_j^2 D_j C_j^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}+t_j^2 C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\vec{\sigma}C_j^{1/2}D_j+t_j C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\vec{\sigma}C_j^{1/2}D_j+i\lambda t_j^2 D_jD_j\big]\\
&\quad+\mathbf{Tr}R_{\leq j}\big[t_j^2 D_j C_j^{1/2}\Delta^1 C^{1/2}_{\leq j}+t_j^2 C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\Delta^1 C_j^{1/2}D_j+t_j C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\Delta^1 C_j^{1/2}D_j\big]\Big)\\
&+ 2\lambda^2\int_0^1 t_j dt_j\; i\lambda\mathbf{Tr}R_{\leq j}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\Delta^1 C^{1/2}_{\leq j}R_{\leq j}\left[C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\vec{\sigma}C_j\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\right]\\
&\quad+\mathbf{Tr}R_{\leq j}\left[C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\Delta^1 C_j\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}+C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\vec{\sigma}C_j\Delta^1 C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\right]\ .
\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

The formula for several successive derivations is similar and straightforward although longer:

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{s=1}^k\partial\sigma_s(-V_j) &= \sum_\tau i\lambda^3\int_0^1 dt_j\Big(\mathbf{Tr}\,[\prod_{s=1}^k i\lambda R_{\leq j}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\Delta^{\tau(s)}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}]R_{\leq j}\\
&\quad\times\big[t_j^2 D_j C_j^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}+t_j^2 C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\vec{\sigma}C_j^{1/2}D_j+t_j C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\vec{\sigma}C_j^{1/2}D_j+i\lambda t_j D_jD_j\big]\\
&\quad+\mathbf{Tr}[\prod_{s=2}^k i\lambda R_{\leq j}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\Delta^{\tau(s)}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}]R_{\leq j}\\
&\quad\times\big[t_j^2 D_j C_j^{1/2}\Delta^{\tau(1)}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}+t_j^2 C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\Delta^{\tau(1)}C_j^{1/2}D_j+t_j C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\Delta^{\tau(1)}C_j^{1/2}D_j\big]\Big)\\
&+ 2\lambda^2\int_0^1 t_j dt_j\mathbf{Tr}[\prod_{s=1}^k i\lambda R_{\leq j}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\Delta^{\tau(s)}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}]R_{\leq j}\left[C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\vec{\sigma}C_j\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\right]\\
&\quad+\mathbf{Tr}[\prod_{s=2}^k i\lambda R_{\leq j}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\Delta^{\tau(s)}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}]R_{\leq j}\left[C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\Delta^{\tau(1)}C_j\vec{\sigma}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}+C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\vec{\sigma}C_j\Delta^{\tau(1)}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\right]\\
&\quad+\mathbf{Tr}[\prod_{s=3}^k i\lambda R_{\leq j}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\Delta^{\tau(s)}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}]R_{\leq j}\left[C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\Delta^{\tau(1)}C_j\Delta^{\tau(2)}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}+C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\Delta^{\tau(2)}C_j\Delta^{\tau(1)}C^{1/2}_{\leq j}\right]\ .
\end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

We used $C_j^{1/2}D_{\leq j}=t_jC^{1/2}_{\leq j}D_j=t_j^2C_j^{1/2}D_j$. In (4.11) the sum over τ runs over the permutations of $[1,k]$ and Δ^s, defined as $(\Delta^s)_{m\bar{m}}=\frac{\partial\vec{\sigma}_{m\bar{m}}}{\partial\sigma^{c_s}_{n^{c_s},\bar{n}^{c_s}}}$, is the tensor product of the identity matrix on colors $c'\neq c_s$ with the matrix on color c_s with zero entries everywhere except at position $n^{c_s},\bar{n}^{c_s}$ where it has entry one. These formulas express the derivatives of the trace as a sum over all cycles with exactly k derivatives, zero or one operator D, and *up to two remaining numerator σ fields* (one at most if the cycle contains an operator D). Rewriting D_j as

$$D_j=C_j^{1/2}A_jC_j^{1/2}\,,\qquad (A_j)_{n\bar{n}}=\mathbf{1}_j(n)A(n)\delta_{n\bar{n}}\ ,\tag{4.12}$$

the cycles have $2k$ to $2k+4$ numerator half-propagators$C^{1/2}$, and *two of them must form a C_j, hence exactly a propagator of scale j*.

The Bosonic integral in a block can be written therefore in a simplified manner as:

$$\int d\nu_{\mathcal{B}}F_{\mathcal{B}}=\sum_G\int d\nu_{\mathcal{B}}\prod_{a\in\mathcal{B}}e^{-V_{j_a}(\sigma_a)}A_G(\sigma)\ ,\tag{4.13}$$

where we gather the result of the derivatives as a sum over graphs G of corresponding amplitudes $A_G(\sigma)$.

These graphs G are still forests, with effective loop vertices[3], one for each $b^a\in\pi^a, a\in\mathcal{B}$, each of them expressed as a trace of a product of three-stranded operators by (4.11), with $k=|b^a|$. Each such effective

[3]We recall that loop vertices are the traces obtained by σ derivatives acting on the intermediate field action [41].

vertex of G bears *at most two* σ insertions plus exactly $|b^a|$ Δ insertions, which are contracted together via the colored edges of the tree $\mathcal{T}^{3c}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

We define the corners of the graph as the pair made of two consecutive insertions of either Δ, σ or A_j operators. Then, each corner of the vertices bears a $C^{1/2}_{(\leq)j_a} R_{\leq j_a} C^{1/2}_{(\leq)j_a}$ operator (the $C^{1/2}$'s being either $C^{1/2}_j$ or $C^{1/2}_{\leq j}$), except one distinguished corner which bears a C_{j_a} operator and no resolvent.

Note that to each initial W_{j_a} may correspond several effective loop vertices V_{b^a}, depending of the partitioning of $S^a_{\mathcal{B}}$ in (4.7). Therefore although at fixed $|\mathcal{B}|$ the number of (colored) edges $E(G)$ for any G in the sum (4.13) is exactly $|\mathcal{B}|-1$, the number of connected components $c(G)$ is not fixed but simply bounded by $|\mathcal{B}|-1$ (each edge can belong to a single connected component). Similarly the number $V(G) = c(G) + E(G)$ of effective loop vertices of G is not fixed, and simply obeys the bounds

$$|\mathcal{B}| \leq V(G) \leq 2(|\mathcal{B}|-1). \tag{4.14}$$

From now on we shall simply call "vertices" the effective loop vertices of G, as we shall no longer meet the initial W_{j_a} vertices.



Figure 5: A detailed three-stranded vertex with its cycle of operators. Open strands corresponds to Δ operators, and to half edges of the tree $\mathcal{T}^{3c}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

When the block $\mathcal{B}$ is reduced to a single vertex a, we have a simpler contribution for which an important cancellation occurs due to the presence of the logarithmically divergent counter term in V_j. More precisely (writing simply j for j_a)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int d\nu_{\mathcal{B}} F_{\mathcal{B}} &= \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) \left[e^{-V_j(\vec{\sigma})} - 1\right] = \int_0^1 dt \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) V_j(\vec{\sigma}) e^{-tV_j(\vec{\sigma})} \\
&= \int_0^1 dt \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) \mathcal{D}_j e^{-tV_j(\vec{\sigma})} \\
&+ 2\lambda^2 \int_0^1 dt \int_0^1 dt_j \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) t_j \left[\mathbf{Tr} R_{\leq j} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} C_j \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} - \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) C_j \vec{\sigma} C_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} \right] e^{-tV_j(\vec{\sigma})} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

and, using $R_{\leq j} = 1 + i\lambda R_{\leq j}(iD_{\leq j} + C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2}_{\leq j})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) t_j \left[\mathbf{Tr} R_{\leq j} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} C_j \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} - \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) C_j \vec{\sigma} C_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} \right] e^{-tV_j(\vec{\sigma})} \\
&= \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) t_j \Big[i\lambda \mathbf{Tr} R_{\leq j} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} C_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} C_j \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} - \lambda^2 \mathbf{Tr} R_{\leq j} D_{\leq j} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} C_j \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \\
&\qquad + \mathbf{Tr} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} C_j \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} - \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) C_j \vec{\sigma} C_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} \Big] e^{-tV_j(\vec{\sigma})} \\
&= \int d\nu(\vec{\sigma}) t_j \Big[i\lambda \mathbf{Tr} R_{\leq j} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} C_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} C_j \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} - \lambda^2 \mathbf{Tr} R_{\leq j} D_{\leq j} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} C_j \vec{\sigma} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \\
&\qquad + t \mathbf{Tr} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \vec{\sigma} C_j \vec{\Delta} C^{1/2}_{\leq j} \cdot (\partial \vec{\sigma}(-V_j)) \Big] e^{-tV_j(\vec{\sigma})} ,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used integration by parts with respect to one σ to explicit the cancellation in the last term. In the last term the dot means a scalar product between the Δ and insertions of both the trace and the vertex derivative. As expected this formula shows that the vacuum expectation value of the graph made of a single vertex has been successfully canceled by the counter term. The contribution of a single vertex corresponds therefore again to perturbatively convergent graphs with either at least two vertices, or one vertex and an operator D, multiplied by the exponential of the interaction, and can be treated therefore exactly as the ones with two or more vertices.

In all cases (including the single isolated blocks treated in (4.15)) we apply a Cauchy-Schwarz inequality with respect to the positive measure $d\nu_{\mathcal{B}}$ to separate the perturbative part "down from the exponential" from the non-perturbative factor:

$$|\int d\nu_{\mathcal{B}} F_{\mathcal{B}}| \leq \sum_G \Big(\int d\nu_{\mathcal{B}} \prod_a e^{2|V_{j_a}(\sigma_a)|}\Big)^{1/2} \Big(\int d\nu_{\mathcal{B}} |A_G(\sigma)|^2\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{4.16}$$

4.3 Non-Perturbative Bound

Lemma 4.1 *For g in the cardioid domain $\mathcal{C}ard_\rho$ we have*

$$|V_j(\sigma)| \leq \rho\, O(1)\big[1 + \mathbf{Tr}\big(C_{\leq j}\vec{\sigma}C_j\vec{\sigma}\big)\big]. \tag{4.17}$$

Proof Starting from (4.8)-(4.9) let us write $V_j = \mathcal{V}_j + \mathcal{D}_j$. Using the bound (2.48) for the $\mathcal{E}'_j$ term, we get

$$|\mathcal{V}_j(\sigma)| \leq |g|\Big(O(1) + 2\int_0^1 t_j dt_j |\mathbf{Tr}\, R_{\leq j} C_{\leq j}^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C_j\vec{\sigma}C_{\leq j}^{1/2}|\Big). \tag{4.18}$$

For A positive[4] Hermitian and B bounded we have $|\operatorname{Tr} AB| \leq \|B\| \operatorname{Tr} A$. Indeed if B is diagonalizable with eigenvalues μ_i, computing the trace in a diagonalizing basis we have $|\sum_i A_{ii}\mu_i| \leq \max_i |\mu_i| \sum_i A_{ii}$; if B is not diagonalizable we can use a limit argument. Hence using (2.34)

$$\mathbf{Tr}|R_{\leq j} C_{\leq j}\vec{\sigma}C_j\vec{\sigma}| \leq 2\cos^{-1}(\phi/2)\mathbf{Tr}\big(C_{\leq j}^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C_j\vec{\sigma}C_{\leq j}^{1/2}\big) = 2\cos^{-1}(\phi/2)\mathbf{Tr}\big(C_{\leq j}\vec{\sigma}C_j\vec{\sigma}\big). \tag{4.19}$$

We conclude that $\mathcal{V}_j$ obeys the bound (4.17) since in the cardioid $|g|\cos^{-1}(\phi/2) \leq \rho$. It remains to check it for the $\mathcal{D}_j$ term. Returning to (4.9)

$$|\mathcal{D}_j| \leq |g|\int_0^1 dt_j |\mathbf{Tr}\,|g|^{1/2} R_{\leq j}\big(D_{\leq j}C_j^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C_{\leq j}^{1/2} + C_{\leq j}^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C_j^{1/2}D_{\leq j} + C_{\leq j}^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C_{\leq j}^{1/2}D_j\big)| + |g||\mathbf{Tr}R_{\leq j}D_{\leq j}D_j|. \tag{4.20}$$

We use the Hilbert-Schmidt bound $|\mathbf{Tr}AB| \leq \mathbf{Tr}AA^\star + \mathbf{Tr}BB^\star$. Remember (2.32): D is Hermitian positive and square trace class and so are also D_j and $D_{\leq j}$. Hence

$$|\mathbf{Tr}R_{\leq j}D_{\leq j}D_j| \leq \mathbf{Tr}R_{\leq j}^\star R_{\leq j}D_{\leq j}^2 + \mathbf{Tr}D_j^2 \leq O(1)[1 + \cos^{-2}(\phi/2)]. \tag{4.21}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{Tr}\,|g|^{1/2}R_{\leq j}D_{\leq j}C_j^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C_{\leq j}^{1/2}| &\leq |g|\mathbf{Tr}R_{\leq j}^\star R_{\leq j}D_{\leq j}^2 + \mathbf{Tr}C_{\leq j}\vec{\sigma}C_j\vec{\sigma}, \\ |\mathbf{Tr}\,|g|^{1/2}D_{\leq j}R_{\leq j}C_{\leq j}^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C_j^{1/2}| &\leq |g|\mathbf{Tr}D_{\leq j}^2 R_{\leq j}^\star R_{\leq j} + \mathbf{Tr}C_{\leq j}\vec{\sigma}C_j\vec{\sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Finally for the last term $|\mathbf{Tr}\,|g|^{1/2}R_{\leq j}C_{\leq j}^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C_{\leq j}^{1/2}D_j|$, we remark that $C_{\leq j}^{1/2}D_j = t_j C_j^{1/2}D_j$. Then

$$t_j|\mathbf{Tr}\,|g|^{1/2}D_j R_{\leq j}C_{\leq j}^{1/2}\vec{\sigma}C_j^{1/2}| \leq |g|\mathbf{Tr}R_{\leq j}^\star R_{\leq j}D_j^2 + \mathbf{Tr}C_{\leq j}\vec{\sigma}C_j\vec{\sigma}. \tag{4.23}$$

Using again the inequality $|\operatorname{Tr} AB| \leq \|B\|\operatorname{Tr} A$ for A positive and B bounded, we can get rid of the resolvents:

$$|g|\mathbf{Tr}R_{\leq j}^\star R_{\leq j}D_{\leq j}^2 \leq O(1)|g|\cos^{-2}(\phi/2), \quad |g|\mathbf{Tr}R_{\leq j}^\star R_{\leq j}D_j^2 \leq O(1)|g|\cos^{-2}(\phi/2). \tag{4.24}$$

Hence we can conclude that the three first terms in (4.20) obey the bound (4.17) since in the cardioid $|g|\cos^{-1}(\phi/2) \leq \rho$. □

We can now bound the first factor in the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (4.16).

[4] We usually simply say positive for "non-negative", i. e. each eigenvalue is strictly positive or zero.

Theorem 4.2 (Bosonic Integration) *For ρ small enough and for any value of the w interpolating parameters*

$$\Big(\int d\nu_{\mathcal{B}} \prod_{a\in\mathcal{B}} e^{2|V_{j_a}(\sigma_a)|}\Big)^{1/2} \quad \leq \quad e^{O(1)\rho|\mathcal{B}|}. \tag{4.25}$$

Proof The term $\prod_{a\in\mathcal{B}} e^{c\rho}$ is simply $e^{c\rho|\mathcal{B}|}$. Applying Lemma 4.1 we get

$$\int d\nu_{\mathcal{B}} \prod_{a\in\mathcal{B}} e^{2|V_{j_a}(\sigma^a)|} \leq e^{c\rho|\mathcal{B}|} \int d\nu_{\mathcal{B}}\; e^{<\sigma,\mathbf{Q}\sigma>} \tag{4.26}$$

where $\mathbf{Q}$ is a symmetric positive matrix in the big vector space $\mathbf{V}$ which includes color, components and vertices indices $a \in \mathcal{B}$. This big space has dimension $N_{\mathbf{V}} = 3|\mathcal{B}|N_{max}^2$. Hence the matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ is an $N_{\mathbf{V}}$ by $N_{\mathbf{V}}$ matrix. More precisely $\mathbf{Q}$ is defined by the equation:

$$<\sigma, \mathbf{Q}\sigma> = \sum_{a\in\mathcal{B}} <\sigma^a, Q^a\sigma^a>,\; <\sigma^a, Q^a\sigma^a> \equiv 2\rho \int_0^1 dt_{j_a} \mathbf{Tr}\big(C_{\leq j_a}\vec{\sigma}^a C_{j_a}\vec{\sigma}^a\big). \tag{4.27}$$

Hence $\mathbf{Q} = \sum_{a\in\mathcal{B}} \mathbf{Q}^a$, where $\mathbf{Q}^a$ is the $N_{\mathbf{V}}$ by $N_{\mathbf{V}}$ matrix with all elements zero except the $3N_{max}^2$ by $3N_{max}^2$ which have both vertex indices equal to a. These non zero elements form the $3N_{max}^2$ by $3N_{max}^2$ positive symmetric matrix Q^a with matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^a_{c,m,n;\, c'm'n'} &= Q^{a,1}_{c,m,n;\, c'm'n'} + Q^{a,2}_{c,m,n;\, c'm'n'} \\
Q^{a,1}_{c,m,n;\, c'm'n'} &= 2\rho\delta_{c,c'} \int_0^1 dt_{j_a} \delta_{m,m'}\delta_{n,n'} [t_{j_a} \mathcal{Q}_{j_a,j_a,1}(m,n) + \sum_{k=0}^{j_a-1} \mathcal{Q}_{j_a,k,1}(m,n)] \\
Q^{a,2}_{c,m,n;\, c'm'n'} &= 2\rho(1-\delta_{c,c'}) \int_0^1 dt_{j_a} \delta_{m,n}\delta_{m',n'} [t_{j_a} \mathcal{Q}_{j_a,j_a,2}(m,m') + \sum_{k=0}^{j_a-1} \mathcal{Q}_{j_a,k,2}(m,m')]
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

where the $\mathcal{Q}$ factors are defined respectively as the color-diagonal and color off-diagonal part of a bubble with two propagators of slices j and k:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{j,k,1}(m,n) \equiv \sum_{m_2,m_3} C_k(m,m_2,m_3) C_j(n,m_2,m_3)], \tag{4.29}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{j,k,2}(m,m') \equiv \sum_{m_3} C_k(m,m',m_3) C_j(m,m',m_3). \tag{4.30}$$

The big matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ has elements $\mathbf{Q}_{a,c,m,n;\, a',c'm'n'} = \delta_{a,a'} Q^a_{c,m,n;\, c'm'n'}$. Using the bounds (2.42) it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}_{j,k,1}(m,n) &\leq O(1) M^{-2j} e^{-M^{-j}|n|} e^{-M^{-k}|m|}, \\
\mathcal{Q}_{j,k,2}(m,m') &\leq O(1) M^{-2j-k} e^{-M^{-k}(|m|+|m'|)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

Lemma 4.3 *The following bounds hold uniformly in j_{max} and N_{max}*

$$\mathbf{Tr}\; Q^a \leq O(1)\rho, \tag{4.32}$$

$$\|Q^a\| \leq O(1)\rho j_a M^{-2j_a}. \tag{4.33}$$

Proof The first bound is easy. Since we compute a trace, only $Q^{a,1}$ contributes and the bound follows from (4.29) which implies that $\sum_{m,n} \mathcal{Q}_{j,1}(m,n) \leq O(1)$. Since $Q^{a,1}$ is diagonal both in component and color space, from (4.29) we deduce that $\sup_{m,n} \mathcal{Q}_{j,1}(m,n) \leq O(1) j M^{-2j}$, hence

$$\|Q^{a,1}\| \leq O(1)\rho j_a M^{-2j_a}. \tag{4.34}$$

Finally to bound $\|Q^{a,2}\|$ we use first a triangular inequality to sum over the 6 pairs of colors c, c' and over k

$$\|Q^{a,2}\| \leq 12\rho \sum_{k=0}^{j} \|E_{j_a,k,2}\| \tag{4.35}$$

where $E_{j_a,k,2}$ is the (component space) matrix with matrix elements

$$E_{j_a,k,2}(m,n;m',n') = \delta_{m,n}\delta_{m',n'}\mathcal{Q}_{j_a,k,2}(m,m'). \tag{4.36}$$

The operator norm of $E_{j_a,k,2}$ is bounded by its Hilbert Schmidt norm

$$\|E_{j_a,k,2}\|_2 = [\sum_{m,m'} \mathcal{Q}^2_{j_a,k,2}(m,m')]^{1/2} \leq O(1)M^{-2j_a-k}[M^{2k}]^{1/2} = O(1)M^{-2j_a}. \tag{4.37}$$

It follows that

$$\|Q^{a,2}\| \leq O(1)\rho j_a M^{-2j_a}, \tag{4.38}$$

and gathering (4.34) and (4.38) proves (4.33). □

The covariance $\mathbf{X}$ of the Gaussian measure $d\nu_{\mathcal{B}}$ is also a symmetric matrix on the big space $\mathbf{V}$, but which is the tensor product of the identity in color and component space times the matrix $X_{ab}(w_{\ell_B})$ in the vertex space. Defining $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{XQ}$, we have

Lemma 4.4 *The following bounds hold uniformly in j_{max} and N_{max}*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } \mathbf{A} &\leq O(1)\rho\,|\mathcal{B}|, & (4.39)\\ \|\mathbf{A}\| &\leq O(1)\rho. & (4.40) \end{aligned}$$

Proof Since $\mathbf{Q} = \sum_{a\in\mathcal{B}} \mathbf{Q}^a$ we find that

$$\text{Tr } \mathbf{A} = \sum_{a\in\mathcal{B}} \text{Tr}\,\mathbf{XQ}^a = \sum_{a\in\mathcal{B}} X_{aa}(w_{\ell_B})\,\text{Tr}\,Q^a = \sum_{a\in\mathcal{B}} \mathbf{Tr}\,Q^a \leq O(1)\rho\,|\mathcal{B}|. \tag{4.41}$$

where in the last inequality we used (4.32). Furthermore by the triangular inequality and (4.33)

$$\|\mathbf{A}\| \leq \sum_{a\in\mathcal{B}} \|\mathbf{XQ}^a\| = \sum_{a\in\mathcal{B}} X_{aa}(w_{\ell_B})\|Q^a\| = \sum_{a\in\mathcal{B}} \|Q^a\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} O(1)\rho j M^{-2j} \leq O(1). \tag{4.42}$$

where we used the fundamental fact that all vertices $a \in \mathcal{B}$ have *different scales* j_a. □

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 4.2. By (4.40) for ρ small enough the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\text{Tr}\,\mathbf{A}^n)/n$ converges and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\nu_{\mathcal{B}}\, e^{<\sigma,\mathbf{Q}\sigma>} &= [\det(1-\mathbf{A})]^{-1/2} = e^{-(1/2)\,\text{Tr}\,\log(1-\mathbf{A})} = e^{(1/2)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\,\text{Tr}\,\mathbf{A}^n)/n}\\ &\leq e^{(1/2)\,\text{Tr}\,\mathbf{A}(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\|\mathbf{A}\|^{n-1})} \leq e^{O(1)\rho|\mathcal{B}|}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

□

4.4 Graph Bounds

We still have to bound the second factor in (4.16), namely $\left(\int d\nu_{\mathcal{B}}|A_G(\sigma)|^2\right)^{1/2}$. We recall that at fixed $|\mathcal{B}|$, the graphs G are forests with $E(G) = |\mathcal{B}| - 1$ (colored) edges joining $V(G) = c(G) + E(G)$ (effective) vertices, each of which has a weight given by (4.11) and (4.11). The number of connected components $c(G)$ is bounded by $|\mathcal{B}| - 1$, hence (4.14) holds.

This squared amplitude can be represented as the square root of an ordinary amplitude but for a graph $G" = G \cup G'$ which is the (disjoint) union of the graph G and its mirror conjugate graph G' of identical structure but on which each operator has been replaced by its Hermitian conjugate. This overall graph $G"$

has thus twice as many vertices, edges, resolvents, $\vec{\sigma}^a$ insertions and connected components than the initial graph G.

To evaluate the amplitude $A_{G"} = \int d\nu_{\mathcal{B}} |A_G(\sigma)|^2$, we first delete every $\vec{\sigma}^a$ insertion using repeatedly integration by parts

$$\int \sigma^c_{n^c} F(\vec{\sigma}) d\nu(\sigma) = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^c_{n^c}} F(\vec{\sigma}) d\nu(\sigma). \tag{4.44}$$

The derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^c_{n^c}}$ will act on any resolvent R_{j_a} or remaining $\vec{\sigma}^a$ insertion of $G"$, creating a new contraction edge. When it acts on a resolvent, it creates a new corner, bearing a $C^{1/2}_{\leq j_a} R_{\leq j_a} C^{1/2}_{\leq j_a}$ (or $C^{1/2}_{\leq j_a} R^\dagger_{\leq j_a} C^{1/2}_{\leq j_a}$) product of operators.

Remark that at the end of this process we have a sum over new graphs $\mathfrak{G}$ with no longer any $\vec{\sigma}^a$ insertion, but the number of edges, resolvents and connected components at the end of this contraction process typically has changed. However we have a bound on the number of new edges generated by the contraction process. Since each vertex of G contains at most two $\vec{\sigma}^a$ insertions, $G"$ contains at most $4V(G)$, hence using (4.14) at most $8(|\mathcal{B}| - 1)$ insertions to contract. Each such contraction creates at most one new edge. Therefore each graph $\mathfrak{G}$ contains the initial $2(|\mathcal{B}| - 1)$ colored edges of $G"$ decorated with up to at most $8(|\mathcal{B}| - 1)$ additional new edges.

Until now, the amplitude $A(\mathfrak{G})$ contains $C^{1/2}_{\leq j} = \sum_{j' < j} C^{1/2}_{j'} + t_j C^{1/2}_j$ operators. We now develop the product of all such $C^{1/2}_{\leq j}$ factors as a sum over scale assignments μ, as in [40]. It means that each former $C^{1/2}_{\leq j}$ is replaced by a fixed scale $C^{1/2}_{j'}$ operator (the t_j factor being bounded by 1) with scale attribution $j' \leq j$. The amplitude at fixed scale attribution μ is noted $A(\mathfrak{G}_\mu)$ and we shall now bound each such amplitude. The sum over μ will be standard to bound after the key estimate (4.56) is established. Similarly the sums over G and over $\mathfrak{G}$ only generate a finite power of $|\mathcal{B}|!$, hence will be no problem using the huge decay factors of (4.56).

Theorem 4.5 (Graph bound) *The amplitude of a graph $\mathfrak{G}$ with scale attribution μ is bounded by*

$$|A(\mathfrak{G}_\mu)| \quad \leq \quad [O(1)\rho]^{E(\mathfrak{G})} M^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{v \in G} j_{a(v)}}. \tag{4.45}$$

Proof We work at fixed value of each σ, and denote $\mathfrak{C}$ the connected components of a graph $\mathfrak{G}$, thus $A(\mathfrak{G}_\mu) = \prod_{\mathfrak{C}} A(\mathfrak{C}_\mu)$. The amplitude $A(\mathfrak{C}_\mu)$ of a connected component $\mathfrak{C}$ can be bounded by iterated Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities [45, 47] using the formula

$$|\langle \alpha | R \otimes R' \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes p} | \beta \rangle| \leq \|R\| \|R'\| \sqrt{\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle} \sqrt{\langle \beta | \beta \rangle}, \tag{4.46}$$

where the scalar product $\langle f | g \rangle$ means the scalar product in the natural three stranded Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

First, we choose a spanning tree for each connected component $\mathfrak{C}$, and order the resolvents $R_{\leq j}$ at the corners along the clockwise contour walk of the tree. For simplicity we consider first a connected component with an even number $2n$ of resolvents, which are then labeled from R_1 to R_{2n}.

We choose R_1 and the antipodal resolvent R_{n+1} as the marked operators R and R' to apply (4.46). Hence we split the tree in two parts, according to the unique path going from the corner 1 to the corner n. The vector α is made of everything on the left on the splitting line, and the vector β is made on everything on the right. The identity $\mathbf{1}^{\otimes p}$ comes from all loop edges which cross the splitting line, as in [47].

The graphs $\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle$ and $\langle \beta | \beta \rangle$ have the same structure of plane trees decorated with loop edges and a product of operators on the corners, but each one has only $(2n - 2)$ resolvents left. We can repeat the same cleaning process on each new graph by ordering the $(2n - 2)$ resolvents along the clockwise contour walk of the new graph, then choosing a new pair of antipodal resolvents as R and R'. Repeating the process n times gives thus a geometric mean over 2^n final completely cleaned graphs $\mathfrak{g}$ bearing no $R_{\leq j}$ at all, times a product of norms of resolvents, which are all bounded by $2\cos^{-1}(\phi/2)$ for $g = |g| e^{i\phi}$ in the cardioid. Since these graphs no longer have any dependence on σ, the normalized measure $\int d\nu(\sigma)$ simply evaluates to 1, and we are left with a *perturbative* bound:

$$|A(\mathfrak{C}_\mu)| \quad \leq \quad \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \|R_i\| \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{g}} A(\mathfrak{g}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2^n}} \leq 4^n \left[\cos \frac{\phi}{2} \right]^{-2n} \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{g}} A(\mathfrak{g}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2^n}}. \tag{4.47}$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz process keeps track of a number of items. Indeed, at every iteration, each vertex and edge of the bounded graph gives respectively two vertices and two edges of the next-stage graphs. The $C_j^{1/2}$ and A_j operators follow the same rule, and each $C_j^{1/2}$ operator of the original graph will generate 2^n identical $C_j^{1/2}$ operators in the final graphs, which repartition is *a priori* unknown. Finally, each vertex of the original graph bearing at least one resolvent, each vertex of the final graph has been cut at least once and is thus mirror-symmetric.

In a final graph $\mathfrak{g}$, each corner bears either a C_j operator or a product of two identical $C_{j'}^{1/2}$ operators (thus one full $C_{j'}$), vertices may also bear A_j insertions, and the strands represent contractions of their indices. All operators left are diagonal, and bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} C_j^{1/2}(n,\bar{n}) &= \delta_{n,\bar{n}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2+1}}(\mathbf{1}_{M^{2j-2}<n^2+1\leq M^{2j}}) \leq \frac{1}{M^{j-1}}\delta_{n,\bar{n}}\prod_{i=1}^{3}\mathbf{1}_{n_i^2\leq M^{2j}}, \\ A_j(n,\bar{n}) &= \mathbf{1}_j(n)\delta_{n\bar{n}}\sum_c A(n_c) \leq \delta_{n\bar{n}}\sum_c O(1)\log M^j = jO(1)\delta_{n\bar{n}}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.48}$$

Then, for a final graph $\mathfrak{g}$ with $2n$ corners that we index by η, each bearing a C^η operator, and denoting $a(\eta)$ the vertex of the original graph that bore the operator,

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mathfrak{g}) &\leq |\lambda|^{2E(\mathfrak{g})}\sum_{\{\vec{n}\}}\prod_\eta C^\eta(n_\eta\bar{n}_\eta)\delta_{\bar{n}_\eta n_\eta}\prod_{\text{strands }s}\delta_{n_{i_s}^s\bar{n}_{i_s}^s}\prod_{\text{operators }A}O(1)j_{a(A)} \\ &\leq |\lambda|^{2E(\mathfrak{g})}\sum_{\{\vec{n}\}}\prod_\eta\delta_{n_\eta,\bar{n}_\eta}\frac{1}{M^{2j_\eta-2}}\left(\prod_i\mathbf{1}_{n_{i\,\eta}\leq M^{j_\eta}}\right)\prod_s\delta_{n_{i_s}^s\bar{n}_{i_s}^s}\prod_A O(1)j_{a(A)} \\ &= [M^2|\lambda|^2]^{E(\mathfrak{g})}\ M^{-2\sum_\eta j_\eta}\prod_{\text{faces }f}\sum_{n_f}\prod_{\eta,\eta'\in f}\left(\mathbf{1}_{n_f\leq M^{j_\eta}}\right)\prod_A O(1)j_{a(A)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

where $j_\eta \in \{0...j_{a(\eta)}\}$ is the scale assignment of the corresponding C operator, $j_{a(A)}$ the scale of the vertex bearing the operator A_j and f are the faces of color i. In the bound, the C operators being removed, the faces are closed cycles of δ operators multiplied by scale factors and cutoffs. Hence only one index n_f remains for each colored face f. Thus the amplitude of a final graph $\mathfrak{g}$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mathfrak{g}) &\leq [M^2|\lambda|^2]^{E(\mathfrak{g})}M^{-2\sum_\eta j_\eta}\prod_f M^{j_{min}(f)}\prod_A O(1)j_{a(A)} \\ &\leq [O(1)|g|]^{E(\mathfrak{g})}M^{\sum_f j_{min}(f)-2\sum_\eta j_\eta}\prod_A O(1)j_{a(A)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

Corners of final graphs that were generated by distinguished corners (without resolvents) of the original graph will be denoted $\eta^* \in H^*$, as opposed to regular corners η. Those corners bear $C_{j_{a(\eta^*)}}$ operators that we want to keep track of. Other corners bear C operators of scale $j_\eta \leq j_{a(\eta)}$. The amplitude is thus bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} |A(\mathfrak{C}_\mu)| &\leq \left[\frac{1}{2}\cos\frac{\phi}{2}\right]^{-2n}\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{g}}[O(1)|g|]^{E(\mathfrak{g})}\prod_A O(1)j_{a(A)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^n}}M^{\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{\mathfrak{g}}\left[\sum_f j_{min}(f)-2j_\eta\right]} \\ &\leq [O(1)\rho]^{E(\mathfrak{C})}\left(\prod_v j_{a(v)}\right)M^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_v j_{a(v)}}\ M^{\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{\mathfrak{g}}\left[\sum_f j_{min}(f)-2\sum_\eta j_\eta+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{H^*}j_{\eta*}\right]} \\ &\leq [O(1)\rho]^{E(\mathfrak{C})}M^{-\frac{1}{4}\sum_v j_{a(v)}}\ M^{\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{\mathfrak{g}}\left[\sum_f j_{min}(f)-2\sum_\eta j_\eta+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{H^*}j_{\eta*}\right]}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.51}$$

where we use the conservation of the number of distinguished corners during the Cauchy-Schwarz process $\sum_{\mathfrak{g}}\left[\sum_{H^*}j_{a(\eta*)}\right] = 2^n\sum_v j_{a(v)}$, along with the fact that for any graph, $2n < 2E(\mathfrak{C})$. We also used the conservation of A_j operators, and the fact that there is at most one A_j per original vertex.

For a connected component with an odd number $2n+1$ of resolvents, we first proceed to a slightly asymmetric Cauchy-Schwarz splitting of the graph, choosing R^1 and R^{n+1} as R and R'. Both scalar product graphs will then have an even number of resolvents and the previous results stand.

Lemma 4.6 *For any connected components $\mathfrak{C}_\mu$ with final graphs $\mathfrak{g}$,*

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{g}} \left[\sum_f j_{min}(f) - 2 \sum_\eta j_\eta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{H^*} j_{\eta^*} \right] \leq 0. \tag{4.52}$$

Proof A final graph consists of the gluing of two mirror symmetric graphs along a path whose ends are undistinguished corners $\eta \notin H^*$. Thus a final graph bears at least two undistinguished corners. Therefore,

$$\sum_f 1 - 2 \sum_\eta 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{H^*} 1 = F - 2C + \frac{1}{2}|H^*| \leq F - \frac{3}{2}C - 1. \tag{4.53}$$

For any tree, the relationship between the number C of corners η, the number F of faces f and the number of A_j insertions $|A|$ is $F - C + |A| = 3$. This can be proved starting with a single isolated vertex and adding extra vertices, edges and A_j's one by one. Each new vertex and edge comes with two new faces and two new corners, each A_j with one corner, and the isolated vertex had three faces and no corner.

Any loop edge adds two corners and may increase or decrease the number of faces by one. Thus, for a tree $\mathcal{T}$ decorated with L loop edges $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$(F - \frac{3}{2}C - 1)_{\mathcal{T}+\mathcal{L}} \leq (F - \frac{3}{2}C - 1)_{\mathcal{T}} - 2L = 3 - V - \frac{3}{2}|A| - 2L. \tag{4.54}$$

For any graph with at least 3 vertices, or with at least one loop edge, or with A_j insertions ($|A|$ is always even for a final graph), this is lower than 0. A pathological final graph cannot be a single vertex without edges, because final graphs have at least two corners. A final graph composed of two vertices, no loops and no A_j can only arise from a graph which had two consecutive corners bearing resolvents, separated by an edge of the chosen tree, before the last iteration of the Cauchy-Schwarz process.

If a mirror-symmetric graph has only two resolvents, then those resolvents are mirror symmetric and therefore are on each side of the symmetry axis, which is a path between two "cleaned" corners (bearing no resolvent), therefore there is at least one corner between them. Therefore, a graph with only two remaining resolvents, which are on consecutive corners, cannot arise from the bounding process. There are only two families of original graphs with less than four resolvents, two being separated only by an edge. We will call them S_2 and S_3, and deal with them with an adapted Cauchy-Schwarz bound that avoid pathological final graphs (Fig. 6).



Figure 6: The graphs S_3 (left) and S_2 (right) with dashed lines representing the Cauchy-Schwarz splitting used to avoid pathological graphs. Dotted corners bears resolvents $R_{\leq j}$. When the dashed line crosses an un-dotted corner, propagator C_j must be rewritten as $C^{1/2}\mathbf{1}C^{1/2}$ and the identity matrix $\mathbf{1}$ is used instead of a resolvent.

Therefore, for any final graph, the js brought by corners (2 for undistinguished ones, and 3/2 for distinguished ones) is large enough to cancel the number of j_{min} brought by the faces. However, each j_{min} must be canceled individually by a higher j.

First, we consider a distinguished corner of scale $j_{a(\eta^*)}$. Such a corner is generated by a corner without resolvent and thus cannot be used in a Cauchy-Schwarz bound. Thus, each vertex being mirror symmetric, they carry an even number of distinguished corners. If a vertex only bears distinguished corners, it is then

made of $2k$ replicas of the same corner (and thus brings $3kj_a$), and has degree $2k$. A vertex of degree $2k$ can belong to at most $2+2k$ faces. For $k>1$, the $3kj_a$ are enough to cancel the j_{min} of all faces the vertex belongs to. For k=1 (vertex of degree 2), if the two edges are of different colors, the vertex belongs to only three faces, that are canceled out by the $3j_a$. If the two edges are of the same color c, the vertex can belong to two distinct faces of color c. If any of those faces also goes through a vertex of degree two bearing two undistinguished corners (and thus bringing $4j_\eta$, enough to cancel all the faces running through it), or a vertex of degree ≥ 2, its j_{min} will be canceled out by this vertex. If both those faces run only through vertices of degree two bearing only distinguished corners, then the final graph must be a closed cycle of vertices of degree two bearing only distinguished corners, which is impossible. Therefore, $\frac{3}{2}\sum_{H^*} j_{\eta^*}$ is enough to cancel out every potential faces with $j_{min}=j_{a(\eta^*)}$.

For vertices bearing undistinguished corners, the situation is actually better. Each vertex of degree >1 brings enough j_η to cancel each faces it belongs to. Only the leaf without A_j has one more face than j_ηs. However, one face running through a leaf will also run through its only neighboring vertex, which is of degree two or more (recall that the two-leaves-graph is excluded), and will be canceled out by this vertex. If the neighbor is of degree two, then it has only 3 faces running through it. If it is of degree >2, then it has more than enough j_η.

Therefore on any final graph, all the j_{min} can be canceled individually by a j_η, hence we have

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{g}} \left[\sum_f j_{min}(f) - 2\sum_\eta j_\eta + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{H^*} j_{\eta *} \right] \leq 0, \tag{4.55}$$

and thus,

$$|A(\mathfrak{G}_\mu)| = \prod_{\mathfrak{C}_\mu} |A(\mathfrak{C}_\mu)| \quad \leq \quad [O(1)\rho]^{E(\mathfrak{G})} M^{-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{v\in G} j_{a(v)}}. \tag{4.56}$$

□

5 Conclusion

Once decay in the maximal scale at each vertex has been garnered by (4.56) the remaining sum over scale attributions μ is completely standard [40]. Similarly the auxiliary sums such as those over τ and the other terms in (4.11), over partitions π in (4.7) (hence over the choice of G) and over σ contractions (hence over the choice of $\mathfrak{G}$) cannot endanger convergence, exactly as in [49, 40]. Indeed the key observation is that in a block $\mathcal{B}$ *since all slice indices are different* and since we cleaned first a distinguished propagator C_{j_a} whose decay cannot have disappeared in (4.56), any small power of the product $\prod_{j\in\mathcal{B}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{v\in G} j_{a(v)}}$ is still smaller than $e^{-O(1)|\mathcal{B}|^2}$ for some small $O(1)$, hence amply sufficient to beat any fixed power of $|\mathcal{B}|!$, such as those generated by the previous sums.

Combinatorial estimates are also exactly similar to those of [48] except for the fact that counting the colored two-level trees requires an additional factor $3^{|\mathcal{F}_B^{3c}|}$ to choose the colors of Bosonic edges. Hence

Proposition 5.1 *The number of two level trees with a three-colored first level over $n\geq 1$ vertices is bounded by $12^n n^{n-2}$.*

Uniform Taylor remainder estimates at order p are required to complete the proof of Borel summability [58] in Theorem 3.1. They correspond to further Taylor expanding beyond trees up to graphs with *excess* (ie number of cycles) at most p. The corresponding *mixed expansion* is described in detail in [46]. The main change is to force for an additional $p!$ factor to bound the cycle edges combinatorics, as expected in the Taylor uniform remainders estimates of a Borel summable function.

The main theorem of this paper clearly also extends to cumulants of the theory, introducing *ciliated* trees and graphs as in [46]. This is left to the reader. Indeed in tensor theories the relation between such cumulants and ciliated trees in the intermediate field representation is complicated, involving in the general case graphical branching of the cilia and Weingarten functions [46, 47], and could detract the reader's attention from what is new in the tensor field theory case.

The next tasks in constructive tensor field theories would be to treat the T^4_4 and T^4_5, which correspond in level of difficulty respectively to ϕ^4_3 and ϕ^4_4 in the ordinary quantum field theory context with local interactions. This would clearly require a much more precise phase space cell expansion. The reward is that ultimately, in contrast with ϕ^4_4, a renormalizable tensor field theory such as T^4_5 should exist non-perturbatively without cutoffs, since it is asymptotically free [29].

References

[1] R. Gurau, "Colored Group Field Theory," Commun. Math. Phys. **304**, 69 (2011), arXiv:0907.2582 [hep-th].

[2] R. Gurau and J. P. Ryan, "Colored Tensor Models - a review," SIGMA **8**, 020 (2012), arXiv:1109.4812 [hep-th].

[3] D. V. Boulatov, "A Model of three-dimensional lattice gravity," Mod. Phys. Lett. A **7**, 1629 (1992) [hep-th/9202074].

[4] D. Oriti, "The microscopic dynamics of quantum space as a group field theory," arXiv:1110.5606 [hep-th].

[5] J. Ambjorn, B. Durhuus and T. Jonsson, "Three-Dimensional Simplicial Quantum Gravity And Generalized Matrix Models," Mod. Phys. Lett. A **6**, 1133 (1991).

[6] N. Sasakura, "Tensor model for gravity and orientability of manifold," Mod. Phys. Lett. A **6**, 2613 (1991).

[7] M. Gross, "Tensor models and simplicial quantum gravity in $>$ 2-D", Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 25A (1992), 144149.

[8] R. Gurau, "The 1/N expansion of colored tensor models," Ann. Henri Poincaré **12**, 829 (2011) [arXiv:1011.2726 [gr-qc]].

[9] R. Gurau and V. Rivasseau, "The 1/N expansion of colored tensor models in arbitrary dimension," Europhys. Lett. **95**, 50004 (2011), arXiv:1101.4182 [gr-qc].

[10] R. Gurau, "The complete 1/N expansion of colored tensor models in arbitrary dimension," Annales Henri Poincare **13**, 399 (2012), arXiv:1102.5759 [gr-qc].

[11] R. Gurau, "Universality for Random Tensors," Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **50**, (2014), 1474-1525 arXiv:1111.0519 [math.PR].

[12] P. Di Francesco, P. H. Ginsparg and J. Zinn-Justin, "2-D Gravity and random matrices," Phys. Rept. **254**, 1 (1995) arXiv:hep-th/9306153.

[13] M. Kontsevich, "Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function," Commun. Math. Phys. **147**, 1 (1992).

[14] H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, "Renormalisation of phi**4 theory on noncommutative R**4 in the matrix base," Commun. Math. Phys. **256**, 305 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0401128.

[15] M. Disertori, R. Gurau, J. Magnen and V. Rivasseau, "Vanishing of Beta Function of Non Commutative Phi**4(4) Theory to all orders," Phys. Lett. B **649**, 95 (2007), hep-th/0612251.

[16] V. Rivasseau, "Non-commutative Renormalization," arXiv:0705.0705 [hep-th].

[17] H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, "Progress in solving a noncommutative quantum field theory in four dimensions," arXiv:0909.1389.

[18] H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, "Self-dual noncommutative ϕ^4-theory in four dimensions is a non-perturbatively solvable and non-trivial quantum field theory," Comm. Math. Phys. **329**, 1069-1130 (2014), arXiv:1205.0465.

[19] V. Bonzom, R. Gurau and V. Rivasseau, "Random tensor models in the large N limit: Uncoloring the colored tensor models," Phys. Rev. D **85**, 084037 (2012), arXiv:1202.3637 [hep-th].

[20] V. Rivasseau, "Quantum Gravity and Renormalization: The Tensor Track," AIP Conf. Proc. **1444**, 18 (2011), arXiv:1112.5104 [hep-th].

[21] V. Rivasseau, "The Tensor Track: an Update," arXiv:1209.5284 [hep-th].

[22] V. Rivasseau, "The Tensor Track, III," Fortsch.Phys. 62 (2014) 81-107, arXiv:1311.1461 [hep-th].

[23] V. Rivasseau, "The Tensor Theory Space," Fortsch. Phys. **62**, 835 (2014), arXiv:1407.0284 [hep-th].

[24] D. Benedetti, J. Ben Geloun and D. Oriti, "Functional Renormalisation Group Approach for Tensorial Group Field Theory: a Rank-3 Model," arXiv:1411.3180 [hep-th].

[25] J. Ambjorn, Simplicial Euclidean and Lorentzian Quantum Gravity, arXiv:gr-qc/0201028.

[26] D. Oriti, "Group field theory as the 2nd quantization of Loop Quantum Gravity," arXiv:1310.7786 [gr-qc].

[27] J. Ben Geloun and V. Bonzom, "Radiative corrections in the Boulatov-Ooguri tensor model: The 2-point function," Int. J. Theor. Phys. **50**, 2819 (2011), arXiv:1101.4294 [hep-th].

[28] J. Ben Geloun and V. Rivasseau, "A Renormalizable 4-Dimensional Tensor Field Theory," Comm. Math. Phys. 318, 69-109 (2013), arXiv:1111.4997.

[29] J. Ben Geloun and D. O. Samary, "3D Tensor Field Theory: Renormalization and One-loop β-functions," Annales Henri Poincaré **14**, 1599 (2013), arXiv:1201.0176.

[30] J. Ben Geloun, "Renormalizable Models in Rank $d \geq 2$ Tensorial Group Field Theory," arXiv:1306.1201.

[31] S. Carrozza, D. Oriti and V. Rivasseau, "Renormalization of Tensorial Group Field Theories: Abelian U(1) Models in Four Dimensions," Comm. Math. Phys. 327, 603-641 (2014), arXiv:1207.6734.

[32] S. Carrozza, D. Oriti and V. Rivasseau, "Renormalization of an SU(2) Tensorial Group Field Theory in Three Dimensions," Comm. Math. Phys. 330, pp 581-637, (2014), arXiv:1303.6772.

[33] S. Carrozza, "Tensorial Methods and Renormalization in Group Field Theories", Series: Springer Theses; arXiv:1310.3736 [hep-th].

[34] M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, "Quantum Einstein Gravity"; New J. Phys. 14: 055022 (2012), arXiv:1202.2274.

[35] J. Ben Geloun, "Two and four-loop β-functions of rank 4 renormalizable tensor field theories," Class. Quant. Grav. **29**, 235011 (2012), arXiv:1205.5513.

[36] S. Carrozza, "Discrete Renormalization Group for SU(2) Tensorial Group Field Theory," arXiv:1407.4615 [hep-th].

[37] T. Konopka, F. Markopoulou and L. Smolin, "Quantum Graphity," hep-th/0611197.

[38] S. Gielen, D. Oriti and L. Sindoni, "Cosmology from Group Field Theory Formalism for Quantum Gravity," Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, no. 3, 031301 (2013), arXiv:1303.3576 [gr-qc].

[39] J. Glimm and A. M. Jaffe, "Quantum Physics. A Functional Integral Point Of View, New York, Springer (1987).

[40] V. Rivasseau, "From perturbative to constructive renormalization", Princeton University Press (1991).

[41] V. Rivasseau, "Constructive Matrix Theory," JHEP **0709**, 008 (2007), arXiv:0706.1224.

[42] J. Magnen and V. Rivasseau, "Constructive phi**4 field theory without tears," Ann. Henri Poincaré **9**, 403 (2008), arXiv:0706.2457 [math-ph].

[43] V. Rivasseau and Z. Wang, "Loop Vertex Expansion for Phi**2K Theory in Zero Dimension," J. Math. Phys. **51**, 092304 (2010), arXiv:1003.1037 [math-ph].

[44] V. Rivasseau and Z. Wang, "How to Resum Feynman Graphs," Ann. Henri Poincaré 15, 2069-2083 (2014), arXiv:1304.5913 [math-ph].

[45] J. Magnen, K. Noui, V. Rivasseau and M. Smerlak, "Scaling behavior of three-dimensional group field theory," Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 185012 (2009), arXiv:0906.5477.

[46] R. Gurau, "The $1/N$ Expansion of Tensor Models Beyond Perturbation Theory," Comm. Math. Phys. 330, 973-1019 (2014), arXiv:1304.2666.

[47] T. Delepouve, R. Gurau and V. Rivasseau, " Universality and Borel Summability of Arbitrary Quartic Tensor Models," arXiv:1403.0170 [hep-th].

[48] R. Gurau and V. Rivasseau, "The Multiscale Loop Vertex Expansion," arXiv:1312.7226 [math-ph].

[49] V. Rivasseau and Z. Wang, "Corrected Loop Vertex Expansion for Phi42 Theory," arXiv:1406.7428 [math-ph].

[50] Mayer, Joseph E.; Montroll, Elliott "Molecular distributions", J. Chem. Phys. 9: 216, (1941).

[51] D. Brydges and P. Federbush "A New Form of the Mayer Expansion in Classical Statistical Mechanics", Journ. Math.Phys. 19 (1978) 2064.

[52] D. Brydges "A short course on Cluster Expansions", Les Houches, Session XLIII, 1984 K. Osterwalder and R. Stora, eds. Elsevier 1986.

[53] E. Nelson, "A quartic interaction in two dimensions", Mathematical Theory of Elementary Particles, Cambridge, M.I.T. Press, 1965, pp. 6973.

[54] B. Simon, "The $P(\Phi)_2$ Euclidean (Quantum) Field Theory," *Princeton University Press, princeton 1974, 392 P.(Princeton Series In Physics)*

[55] D. Brydges and T. Kennedy, "Mayer expansions and the Hamilton-Jacobi equation", Journal of Statistical Physics, **48**, 19 (1987).

[56] A. Abdesselam and V. Rivasseau, "Trees, forests and jungles: A botanical garden for cluster expansions," arXiv:hep-th/9409094.

[57] A. Abdesselam, V. Rivasseau, "Explicit Fermionic Tree Expansions", Letters in Mathematical Physics, Vol.44, 77-88, 1998.

[58] A.D. Sokal, "An improvement of Watson's theorem on Borel summability", J. Math. Phys. 21 (1980), 261263.